arXiv:math/0612049v1 [math.DS] 2 Dec 2006

THE NUMBERS OF PERIODIC ORBITS OF HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS HIDDEN AT FIXED POINTS

GUANG YUAN ZHANG

Abstract. Let Δ^2 be a ball in the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^2$ centered at the origin, let $f : \Delta^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic mapping, with $f(0) = 0$, and let M be a positive integer. If the origin 0 is an isolated fixed point of the M th iteration f^M of f, then one can define the number $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0)$ of periodic orbits of f with period M hidden at the fixed point 0, which has the meaning: any holomorphic mapping $g : \Delta^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ sufficiently close to f in a neighborhood of the origin has exactly $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0)$ distinct periodic orbits with period M near the origin, provided that all fixed points of g^M near the origin are all simple.

It is known that $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) \geq 1$ iff the linear part of f at the origin has a periodic point of period M. This paper will continue to study the number $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0)$. We are interested in the condition for the linear part of f at the origin such that $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) \geq 2$.

For a 2×2 matrix A that is arbitrarily given, the goal of this paper is to give a necessary and sufficient condition for A, such that $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) \geq 2$ for all holomorphic mappings $f : \Delta^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ such that $f(0) = 0$, $Df(0) = A$ and that the origin 0 is an isolated fixed point of f^M.

1. Introduction and the main Theorem

We denote by $\mathbb{C}^n$ the complex vector space of dimension n and by $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0, 0)$ the space of all germs of holomorphic mappings f from a neighborhood of the origin 0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ into $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$f(0) = 0 \text{ (0 denotes the origin).}$$

Let

$$f(z) = \lambda z + \text{higher terms},$$

be a germ in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}, 0, 0)$. Then 0 is a fixed point of f and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (the set of positive integers), the m-th iteration f^m of f is well defined in a neighborhood of 0. f^m is defined as $f^1 = f, f^2 = f \circ f, \ldots, f^m = f \circ f^{m-1}$, inductively.

If $\lambda = f'(0)$ is a primitive m-th root of unity, then it is well known in the theory of one variable complex dynamics [10] that either $f^m(z) \equiv z$, or there exist an $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $a \neq 0$ such that

$$f^m(z) = z + az^{\alpha m+1} + \text{higher terms},$$

and in the later case, 0 is an isolated fixed point of f^m and one can split this fixed point into one fixed point and α periodic orbits by small perturbations. More precisely, there exists a sequence of holomorphic functions f_k converging to f uniformly in a neighborhood of the origin so that $f_k(0) = 0$ and each f_k has α distinct periodic orbits of period m converging to the origin, and α is the largest integer with this

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32H50, 37C25.

Project 10271063 and 10571009 supported by NSFC.

property. Note that f itself has no periodic orbit of period m in a neighborhood of 0. The number α then can be interpreted to be the number of periodic orbits of period m of f "hidden" at 0. Some authors call this phenomenon that f has α *virtual* periodic orbits of period m at 0 (see [3]). This number α will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_m(f,0)$. Here, a *periodic orbit* of f_k of period m means a set $E=\{p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_m\}\subset B$ with cardinality m such that $f_k(p_1)=p_2, f_k(p_2)=p_3,\ldots,f_k(p_{m-1})=p_m$ and $f_k(p_m)=p_1$. A point is called a *periodic point of period* m if and only if it is contained in a periodic orbit of period m.

In higher dimensional cases, there are similar phenomena, but things are far more complicated. Now, let $f\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n,0,0)$ and let $M\in\mathbb{N}$. Then there is a small ball B centered at 0 so that the M-th iteration f^M of f is well defined in $\overline{B}$. If, in addition, 0 is an isolated fixed point of f^M then we may make B even smaller so that 0 is the unique fixed point of f^M in $\overline{B}$ and then define $\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)$ to be

$$\max\left\{m;\ \begin{array}{l}\text{there exists a sequence } f_k\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n,0,0) \text{ uniformly converging to } f \text{ in}\\ B \text{ such that each } f_k \text{ has } m \text{ distinct periodic orbits of period } M \text{ in } B.\end{array}\right\}$$

It is clear that in this definition, all periodic orbits of period M of f_k located in B converge to 0 uniformly as $k\to\infty$. Therefore, this definition is independent of B.

The number $\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)$ is a well defined integer, and the definition for $\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)$ agrees with that in the case $n=1$. In next section, we shall give another equivalent definition of the number $\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)$ and give some examples for understanding this number.

The following theorem is proved by the author in [14].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n,0,0)$ and assume that the origin is an isolated fixed point of f^M. Then, $\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)\neq 0$ if and only if the linear part of f at 0 has a periodic point of period M.*

The term "linear part" indicates the linear mapping $l:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^n$,

$$l(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j}x_j,\ldots,\sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj}x_j),$$

where

$$(a_{ij})=Df(0)=\left.\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)\right|_0$$

is the Jacobian matrix of $f=(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$ at the origin. If $M>1$, then by Lemma 3.7, the linear part of f at 0 has a periodic point of period M if and only if the following condition holds.

Condition 1.1. *$Df(0)$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_s, s\leq n$, that are primitive m_1-th,..., m_s-th roots of unity, respectively, such that M is the least common multiple of $m_1,\ldots,m_s$.*

Thus, if $M>1$, by the above theorem, $\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)\geq 1$ if and only if Condition 1.1 holds. This gives rise to the following problem.

Problem 1.1. *Assume that Condition 1.1 holds. Under which additional condition for $Df(0)$, one must have $\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)\geq 2$?*

In this paper, we study this problem for the case $n=2$, and our goal is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $M > 1$ be a positive integer and let A be a 2 by 2 matrix. Then the following two conditions (A) and (B) are equivalent:*

(A) For any holomorphic mapping germ $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ such that $Df(0) = A$ and that 0 is an isolated fixed point of both f and f^M,

$$\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) \geq 2.$$

(B) The two eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of A are primitive m_1 th and m_2 th roots of unity, respectively, and one of the following conditions holds.

(b1) A is diagonalizable, $m_1 = m_2 = M$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

(b2) $m_1 = m_2 = M$ and there exist positive integers α and β such that $1 < \alpha < M$, $1 < \beta < M$ and

$$\lambda_1^\alpha = \lambda_2, \lambda_2^\beta = \lambda_1, \alpha\beta > M + 1.$$

(b3) $m_1 | m_2$, $m_2 = M$, and $\lambda_2^{m_2/m_1} \neq \lambda_1$.

(b4) $M = [m_1, m_2], (m_1, m_2) > 1$ and $\max\{m_1, m_2\} < M$.

Here, $[m_1, m_2]$ denotes the least common multiple and (m_1, m_2) denotes the greatest common divisor, of m_1 and m_2, and $m_1 | m_2$ means that m_1 divides m_2.

Remark 1.1. *Consider condition (b2). If in the theorem $m_1 = m_2 = M$ but $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, say, λ_1 and λ_2 are distinct primitive M th roots of unity, then it is easy to show that there uniquely exist positive integers α and β such that $1 < \alpha < M$, $1 < \beta < M$ and*

$$\lambda_1^\alpha = \lambda_2, \lambda_2^\beta = \lambda_1. \tag{1.1}$$

The proof is left to the reader.

(1.1) implies that $\lambda_1^{\alpha\beta} = \lambda_1$, and then by the property of primitive M th roots of unity, one can see that $\alpha\beta = kM + 1$ for some positive integer k. Condition (b2) just permits $k > 1$.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we shall give another equivalent definition of the number $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0)$ and give two examples for understanding this number. Sections 3–7 are aimed to prove the main theorem. In Sections 3 and 4, we shall introduce some known results and prove a few consequences. Then, in Section 5, we shall apply Cronin's theorem to compute zero orders of some germs in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$. After these preparations, the proof of the main theorem will be given in the last two sections.

2. Another Definition of $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0)$ via Dold's Indices and Some Examples

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$. Then each component of f can be expressed as a power series at the origin. All power series in this paper will be assumed to be convergent in a neighborhood of the origin.

If p is an isolated zero of f, say, there exists a ball B centered at p such that f is well defined on $\overline{B}$ and that p is the unique solution of the equation $f(x) = 0$ (0 is the origin) in $\overline{B}$. Then we can define the *zero order* (or *multiplicity*) of f at p by

$$\pi_f(p) = \#\{x \in B; f(x) = q\},$$

where q is a regular value of f such that $|q|$ is small enough and $\#$ denotes the cardinality. $\pi_f(p)$ is well defined (see [9] or [12] for the details).

If the origin 0 is an isolated fixed point of f, then 0 is an isolated zero of the germ $id-f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$, which puts each x near the origin into $x - f(x)$, and then the *fixed point index* of f at 0 is well defined by

$$\mu_f(0) = \pi_{id-f}(0).$$

If 0 is a fixed point of f such that $id - f$ is regular at 0, say, the Jacobian matrix $Df(0)$ of f at 0 has no eigenvalue 1, then 0 is called a *simple* fixed point of f. A simple fixed point of a holomorphic mapping has index 1 by the inverse function theorem (see Lemma 3.1). By the definition, it is clear that

$$\mu_f(0) = \pi_{id-f}(0) = \pi_{f-id}(0).$$

If the origin 0 is a fixed point of f, then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the m-th iteration f^m is well defined in a neighborhood V_m of 0. If for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$, the origin 0 is an isolated fixed point of both f and f^M, then for each factor m of M, 0 is an isolated fixed point of f^m as well and the fixed point index $\mu_{f^m}(0)$ of f^m at 0 is well defined. Therefore, we can define the (local) index as in A. Dold's work [6]:

$$P_M(f, 0) = \sum_{\tau \subset P(M)} (-1)^{\#\tau} \mu_{f^{M:\tau}}(0), \tag{2.1}$$

where $P(M)$ is the set of all primes dividing M, the sum extends over all subsets τ of $P(M)$, $\#\tau$ is the cardinal number of τ and $M : \tau = M(\prod_{p \in \tau} p)^{-1}$. Note that the sum includes the term $\mu_{f^M}(0)$ which corresponds to the empty subset $\tau = \emptyset$. If $M = 12 = 2^2 \cdot 3$, for example, then $P(M) = \{2, 3\}$, and

$$P_{12}(f, 0) = \mu_{f^{12}}(0) - \mu_{f^4}(0) - \mu_{f^6}(0) + \mu_{f^2}(0).$$

The formula (2.1) is known as the Möbius inversion formula [8] (see [14] for more interpretations of Dold's index).

Remark 2.1. *(1) By Corollary 3.5,*

$$\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) = P_M(f, 0)/M.$$

(2) By the definition, $\mathcal{O}_1(f, 0) = P_1(f, 0) = \mu_f(0)$.

We denote by $O(1)$ any holomorphic function germ at the origin, which may be different in different places, even in a single equation; by $o(|z|^k)$, any holomorphic function germ α defined at the origin $z = 0$ such that

$$\lim_{z \to 0} |\alpha(z)|/|z|^k = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

which is equivalent to the statement that α can be expressed as a power series in which the terms of degree $\leq k$ are all zero. Also, the same notation $o(|z|^k)$ may denote different function germs in different places, even in a single equation. When $o(|z|^k)$ denotes a germ of one variable function, we just write it to be $o(z^k)$. Thus, $o(1) = o(|z|^0)$ means any holomorphic function vanishing at the origin.

Example 2.1. *Let* $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$(x, y) \to (\lambda_1 x + o(x), \lambda_2 y + o(y)),$$

such that λ_1 *is a primitive* m_1*-th root of unity and* λ_2 *is a primitive* m_2*-th root of unity,* m_1 *and* m_2 *are distinct primes, and that* 0 *is an isolated fixed point of the*

m_1m_2 th iteration $f^{m_1m_2}$ of f. Then there exist nonzero constants a, b and positive integers α and β such that

$$f^{m_1}(x,y)^T = \begin{pmatrix} x + ax^{\alpha m_1+1}(1+o(1)) \\ \lambda_2^{m_1} y(1+o(1)) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f^{m_2}(x,y)^T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{m_2} x(1+o(1)) \\ y + by^{\beta m_2+1}(1+o(1)) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$f^{m_1m_2}(x,y)^T = \begin{pmatrix} x + am_2x^{\alpha m_1+1}(1+o(1)) \\ y + bm_1x^{\beta m_2+1}(1+o(1)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, considering that $\lambda_1^{m_2} \neq 1$ and $\lambda_2^{m_1} \neq 1$, we have by Cronin's Theorem introduced in Section 5 that

$$\mu_f(0) = 1,$$
$$\mu_{f^{m_1}}(0) = \alpha m_1 + 1, \ \mu_{f^{m_2}}(0) = \beta m_2 + 1,$$
$$\mu_{f^{m_1m_2}}(0) = (\alpha m_1 + 1)(\beta m_2 + 1),$$

and then by the formula (2.1),

$$P_{m_1}(f,0) = \mu_{f^{m_1}}(0) - \mu_f(0) = \alpha m_1,$$
$$P_{m_2}(f,0) = \mu_{f^{m_2}}(0) - \mu_f(0) = \beta m_2,$$
$$P_{m_1m_2}(f,0) = \mu_{f^{m_1m_2}}(0) - \mu_{f^{m_1}}(0) - \mu_{f^{m_2}}(0) + 1 = \alpha\beta m_1m_2,$$

and then, by Corollary 3.5,

$$\mathcal{O}_{m_1}(f,0) = \alpha, \mathcal{O}_{m_2}(f,0) = \beta, \mathcal{O}_{m_1m_2}(f,0) = \alpha\beta,$$

say

$$\mathcal{O}_{m_1m_2}(f,0) = \mathcal{O}_{m_1}(f,0)\mathcal{O}_{m_2}(f,0). \tag{2.3}$$

By this example, one may guess that there is a relation between the numbers $\mathcal{O}_{m_1}(f,0)$, $\mathcal{O}_{m_2}(f,0)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{m_1m_2}(f,0)$ similar to the above equality (2.3). But see the next example.

Example 2.2. *Let $k > 1$ be any given positive integer and let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ be given by*

$$(f(x,y))^T = \begin{pmatrix} -x + x^{2k+1} + xy^3 \\ e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} y + x^2y + y^{3k+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We show that $\mathcal{O}_2(f,0) = \mathcal{O}_3(f,0) = k$, but $\mathcal{O}_6(f,0) = 1$.

After a careful computation, we have

$$\left(f^2(x,y)\right)^T = \begin{pmatrix} x - 2x^{2k+1}(1+o(1)) - 2xy^3(1+o(1)) \\ e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} y(1+o(1)) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\left(f^3(x,y)\right)^T = \begin{pmatrix} -x(1+o(1)) \\ y + 3e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} x^2y(1+o(1)) + 3e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} y^{3k+1}(1+o(1)) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\left(f^6(x,y)\right)^T = \begin{pmatrix} x + xh_1(x,y) \\ y + yh_2(x,y) \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$h_1(x,y) = -6x^{2k}(1+o(1)) - 6y^3(1+o(1)),$$
$$h_2(x,y) = 6e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} x^2(1+o(1)) + 6e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} y^{3k}(1+o(1)).$$

We first compute $\mu_{f^2}(0)$. It equals the zero order of the mapping

$$F(x,y) = (x,y) - f^2(x,y),$$

and then, by (2.4) and Corollary 5.2, we have

$$\mu_{f^2}(0) = \pi_F(0) = 2k+1.$$

Similarly, by (2.5) and Corollary 5.2, we have

$$\mu_{f^3}(0) = 3k+1.$$

On the other hand, 0 is a simple fixed point of f, and then by Lemma 3.1, $\mu_f(0) = 1$. Therefore, by the formula (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(f,0) &= \mu_{f^2}(0) - 1 = 2k, \\ P_3(f,0) &= \mu_{f^3}(0) - 1 = 3k, \end{aligned}$$

and then, by Corollary 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_2(f,0) &= P_2(f,0)/2 = k, \\ \mathcal{O}_3(f,0) &= P_3(f,0)/3 = k. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that $\mathcal{O}_6(f,0) = 1$. It is clear that $\mu_{f^6}(0)$ equals the zero order of the mapping

$$id - f^6 : (x,y) \mapsto -(xh_1(x,y), yh_2(x,y)),$$

and by Lemma 3.13, the zero order of $id - f^6$ at 0 is the sum of the zero orders of the four mappings putting (x,y) into (x,y), $(x, h_2(x,y))$, $(h_1(x,y), y)$ and $(h_1(x,y), h_2(x,y))$, which are 1, $3k$, $2k$ and 6, respectively, by Cronin's Theorem and Corollary 5.2. Thus $\mu_{f^6}(0) = 5k+7$, and then, by the formula (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_6(f,0) &= \mu_{f^6}(0) - \mu_{f^2}(0) - \mu_{f^3}(0) + 1 \\ &= 5k+7-2k-1-3k-1+1, \end{aligned}$$

and then $P_6(f,0) = 6$, and $\mathcal{O}_6(f,0) = 1$.

Remark 2.2. *(1). Assume that m_1 and m_2 are two positive integers and let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ such that 0 is an isolated fixed point of $f^{[m_1,m_2]}$, where $[m_1, m_2]$ denotes the least common multiple of m_1 and m_2. Then, by Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{O}_{m_1}(f,0) \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_{m_2}(f,0) \geq 1$ imply $\mathcal{O}_{[m_1,\ m_2]}(f,0) \geq 1$.*

(2). By the main theorem, when m_1 and m_2 satisfy certain condition (for example, if $m_1 = 6$ and $m_2 = 10$), $\mathcal{O}_{m_1}(f,0) \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_{m_2}(f,0) \geq 1$ implies $\mathcal{O}_{[m_1,\ m_2]}(f,0) \geq 2$.

3. SOME BASIC RESULTS OF FIXED POINT INDICES AND ZERO ORDERS

In this section we introduce some results for later use. Most of them are known.

Let U be an open and bounded subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and let $f : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic mapping. If f has no fixed point on the boundary ∂U, then the fixed point set $\mathrm{Fix}(f)$ of f is a compact analytic subset of U, and then it is finite (see [4]); and therefore, we can define the global fixed point index $L(f)$ of f as:

$$L(f) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Fix}(f)} \mu_f(p),$$

which is just the number of all fixed points of f, counting indices. $L(f)$ is, in fact, the Lefschetz fixed point index of f (see the appendix section in [14] for the details).

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the m-th iteration f^m of f is understood to be defined on

$$K_m(f) = \cap_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{-k}(\overline{U}) = \{x \in \overline{U}; f^k(x) \in \overline{U} \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, m-1\},$$

which is the largest set where f^m is well defined. Since U is bounded, $K_m(f)$ is a compact subset of $\overline{U}$. Here, $f^0 = id$.

Now, let us introduce the global Dold's index. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that f^M has no fixed point on the boundary ∂U. Then, for each factor m of M, f^m again has no fixed point on ∂U, and then the fixed point set $\mathrm{Fix}(f^m)$ of f^m is a compact subset of U. Thus, there exists an open subset V_m of U such that $\mathrm{Fix}(f^m) \subset V_m \subset \overline{V_m} \subset U$ and f^m is well defined on $\overline{V_m}$, and thus $L(f^m|_{\overline{V_m}})$ is well defined and we write $L(f^m) = L(f^m|_{\overline{V_m}})$, where $f^m|_{\overline{V_m}}$ is the restriction of f^m to $\overline{V_m}$. In this way, we can define the global Dold's index (see [6]) as (2.1):

$$P_M(f) = \sum_{\tau \subset P(M)} (-1)^{\#\tau} L(f^{M:\tau}). \tag{3.1}$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that, for any compact subset K of U with $\cup_{j=1}^m f^j(K) \subset U$, there is a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of K, such that for any holomorphic mapping $g : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ sufficiently close to f, the iterations $g^j, j = 1, \dots, m$, are well defined on $\overline{V}$ and

$$\max_{X \in \overline{U}} |g(X) - f(X)| \to 0 \Longrightarrow \max_{1 \le j \le m} \max_{X \in \overline{V}} |g^j(X) - f^j(X)| \to 0.$$

We shall use these facts frequently and tacitly.

We denote by Δ^2 a ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ centered at the origin.

Lemma 3.1 ([9])**.** *Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ and assume that the origin is an isolated fixed point. Then*

$$\mu_f(0) \ge 1,$$

and the equality holds if and only if 1 is not an eigenvalue of $Df(0)$.

Lemma 3.2 ([9])**.** *(1) Let $f : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic mapping such that f has no fixed point on the boundary $\partial\Delta^2$. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that any holomorphic mapping $g : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\max_{x \in \overline{\Delta^2}} |g(x) - f(x)| < \delta$ has finitely many fixed points in Δ^2 and satisfies*

$$L(g) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Fix}(g)} \mu_g(p) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Fix}(f)} \mu_f(p) = L(f).$$

(2) In particular, if 0 is the unique fixed point of f in $\overline{\Delta^2}$, then for any holomorphic mapping $g : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\max_{x \in \overline{\Delta^2}} |g(x) - f(x)| < \delta$,

$$\mu_f(0) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Fix}(g)} \mu_g(p),$$

and if in addition all fixed points of g are simple, then

$$\mu_f(0) = \#\mathrm{Fix}(g) = \#\{y \in \Delta^2; g(y) = y\}.$$

This result is another version of Rouché's theorem which is stated as follows.

Lemma 3.3 (Rouché's theorem [9])**.** *Let $f : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic mapping such that f has no zero on $\partial\Delta^2$. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that any holomorphic* *mapping* $g : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *with* $\max_{x\in\partial\Delta^2} |g(x) - f(x)| < \delta$ *has the same number of zeros in* Δ^2 *as* f*, counting zero orders, say,*

$$\sum_{f(x)=0} \pi_f(x) = \sum_{g(x)=0} \pi_g(x).$$

Corollary 3.1. *Let* U *be a bounded open subset of* $\mathbb{C}^2$*, let* $M \in \mathbb{N}$*, let* $f : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *be a holomorphic mapping and assume that* f^M *has no fixed point on* ∂U*. If* f *has a periodic point* $p \in U$ *with period* M*, then any holomorphic mapping* $g : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *that is sufficiently close to* f *has a periodic point with period* M *in* U*.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 directly (see [14] for a simple proof). □

Corollary 3.2. *Let* U *be a bounded open subset of* $\mathbb{C}^2$*, let* $M \in \mathbb{N}$*, let* $f : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *be a holomorphic mapping and assume that* f^M *has no fixed point on* ∂U*. If* f *has* k *distinct periodic points with period* M*, then any holomorphic mapping* $g : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *that is sufficiently close to* f *has at least* k *distinct periodic points with period* M *in* U*.*

Proof. This follows from the previous corollary directly. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let* $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be a sequence converging to* $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$*, uniformly in a neighborhood of the origin. If the origin is an isolated zero of* f*, and there exists an integer* k *such that* $\pi_{f_n}(0) \geq k$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*, then* $\pi_f(0) \geq k$*.*

Proof. This follows from Rouché's theorem directly. □

Corollary 3.4 ([14])**.** *Let* M *be a positive integer, let* U *be a bounded open subset of* $\mathbb{C}^2$*, let* $f : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *be a holomorphic mapping and assume that* f^M *has no fixed point on* ∂U*. Then:*

(i). There exists an open subset V *of* U*, such that* f^M *is well defined on* $\overline{V}$*, has no fixed point outside* V*, and has only finitely many fixed points in* V*.*

(ii). For any holomorphic mapping $g : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *sufficiently close to* f*,* g^M *is well defined on* $\overline{V}$*, has no fixed point outside* V *and has only finitely many fixed points in* V*; and furthermore,*

$$L(g^M) = L(f^M), \ \ P_M(g) = P_M(f).$$

(iii). In particular, if $p_0 \in U$ *is the unique fixed point of both* f *and* f^M *in* $\overline{U}$*, then for any holomorphic mapping* $g : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *sufficiently close to* f*,*

$$L(g^M) = L(f^M) = \mu_{f^M}(p_0),\ P_M(g) = P_M(f) = P_M(f, p_0).$$

Remark 3.1. *Under the assumption that* f^M *has no fixed point on* ∂U*, for any factor* m *of* M*, the conclusions (i)–(iii) remain valid if* M *is replaced by* m*, since* f^m *has no fixed point on* ∂U *as well.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let* M *be a positive integer and let* $f : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *be a holomorphic mapping such that* f^M *has no fixed point on* $\partial\Delta^2$ *and each fixed point of* f^M *is simple. Then,* $\mathrm{Fix}(f^M)$ *is finite, and*

(i) $L(f^M) = \#\mathrm{Fix}(f^M) = \sum_{m|M} P_m(f)$*;*

(ii) $P_M(f)$ *is the cardinal number of the set of periodic points of* f *of period* M*;*

(iii) $P_M(f)/M$ *is the number of distinct periodic orbits of* f *of period* M*.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) are proved in [7] (see [14] for a very simple proof), and (iii) follows from (ii). □

A fixed point p of f is called *hyperbolic* if $Df(p)$ has no eigenvalue of absolute 1. If p is a hyperbolic fixed point of f, then it is a hyperbolic fixed point of all iterations $f^j, j \in \mathbb{N}$. A hyperbolic fixed point is a simple fixed point, and so it has index 1 by Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.5. *Let M be a positive integer, let V be an open subset of Δ^2 and let $f : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic mapping such that f^M is well defined in $\overline{V}$ and has no fixed point on ∂V. Then for any positive number $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a holomorphic mapping $f_\varepsilon : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ such that*

$$\sup_{X \in \overline{\Delta^2}} |f_\varepsilon(X) - f(X)| < \varepsilon$$

and all the fixed points of f_ε^M located in $\overline{V}$ are hyperbolic.

A proof of this result follows from the argument in [2]. Another proof can be found in [13].

Corollary 3.5. *Let $f : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic mapping so that 0 is the unique fixed point of both f and f^M in $\overline{\Delta^2}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) = P_M(f, 0)/M, \tag{3.2}$$

and there exists a $\delta > 0$, such that any holomorphic mapping $g : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\max_{x \in \overline{\Delta^2}} |g(x) - f(x)| < \delta$ has exactly $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0)$ distinct periodic orbits of period M in Δ^2, provided that all fixed points of g^M are simple.

Proof. By Corollary 3.4 (iii), there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that, for any holomorphic mapping $g : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$,

$$\max_{x \in \overline{\Delta^2}} |g(x) - f(x)| < \delta \tag{3.3}$$

implies

$$P_M(g) = P_M(f, 0). \tag{3.4}$$

Let ε be any positive number with $\varepsilon < \delta$. Then by Lemma 3.5, there exists a holomorphic mapping $g_1 : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying (3.3) for ε, say,

$$\max_{x \in \overline{\Delta^2}} |g_1(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon,$$

such that all fixed points of g_1^M located in Δ^2 are simple, and then by (3.4) and Lemma 3.4 (iii), $g = g_1$ has exactly $P_M(f, 0)/M$ distinct periodic orbits of period M. Therefore, by the definition of the number $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0)$ and the arbitrariness of ε, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) \geq P_M(f, 0)/M.$$

We show that the inequality does not occur.

Otherwise, by the definition of $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0)$, there exists a holomorphic mapping $g_2 : \overline{\Delta^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying (3.3), say,

$$\max_{x \in \overline{\Delta^2}} |g_2(x) - f(x)| < \delta,$$

such that g_2 has at least $P_M(f, 0) + M$ distinct periodic points of period M in Δ^2.

Furthermore, by Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.5, there exists a holomorphic mapping g_3 satisfying the following three conditions.

(a) g_3 is so close to g_2 that g_3 satisfies (3.3), say,

$$\max_{x\in\overline{\Delta^2}}|g_3(x)-f(x)|<\delta.$$

(b) g_3 is so close to g_2 that it has at least $P_M(f,0)+M$ distinct periodic points of period M in Δ^2.

(c) All fixed points of g_3^M in Δ^2 are simple.

By (c) and Lemma 3.4 (ii), g_3 has exactly $P_M(g_3)$ distinct periodic points of period M, and then by (b), $P_M(g_3)\geq P_M(f,0)+M$. But $g=g_3$ satisfies (3.3) by (a). Then $g=g_3$ satisfies (3.4), say, $P_M(g_3)=P_M(f,0)$. This is a contradiction, and then (3.2) is proved.

If $g:\overline{\Delta^2}\to\mathbb{C}^2$ is a holomorphic mapping that satisfies (3.3), then it satisfies (3.4), and then, by Lemma 3.4 (iii), it has

$$P_M(g)/M=P_M(f,0)/M=\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)$$

distinct periodic orbits in Δ^2 of period M, provided that all fixed points of g^M are simple. This completes the proof. $\square$

The following result also follows from the above argument.

Lemma 3.6. *Let k and M be positive integers and let $f\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2,0,0)$. If 0 is an isolated fixed point of both f and f^M, then $\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)\geq k$ if there exists a sequence of holomorphic mappings $f_j\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2,0,0)$, uniformly converging to f in a neighborhood of the origin, such that*

$$f_j(0)=0\text{ and }\mathcal{O}_M(f_j,0)\geq k.$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let $L:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^n$ be a linear mapping and let $M>1$ be a positive integer. Then L has a periodic point of period M if and only if L has eigenvalues $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_s, s\leq n$, that are primitive m_1 th,..., m_s th roots of unity, respectively, such that $M=[m_1,\dots,m_s]$.*

This is a basic knowledge of elementary linear algebra. Recall that $[m_1,\dots,m_s]$ denotes the least common multiple of $m_1,\dots,m_s$. This Lemma is only used once in this paper (in Section 1). We shall frequently use its special case with $n=2$ in another version:

Lemma 3.8. *Let $L:\mathbb{C}^2\to\mathbb{C}^2$ be a linear mapping and let $M>1$ be a positive integer. Then L has a periodic point of period M if and only if one of the following conditions holds.*

(a) *One eigenvalue of L is a primitive M-th root of unity.*

(b) *The two eigenvalues of L are primitive m_1 th and m_2 th roots of unity, respectively, such that $[m_1,m_2]=M$.*

Lemma 3.9. *Let $f\in\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2,0,0)$ and let*

$$\mathfrak{M}_f=\{m\in\mathbb{N};\text{ the linear part of } f \text{ at } 0 \text{ has periodic points of period } m\}.$$

Then,

(i). For each $m\in\mathbb{N}\backslash\mathfrak{M}_f$ such that 0 is an isolated fixed point of f^m,

$$P_m(f,0)=0;$$

(ii). For each positive integer M such that 0 is an isolated fixed point of f^M,

$$\mu_{f^M}(0) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathfrak{M}_f \\ m|M}} P_m(f, 0).$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) are essentially proved in [3] (see [14] for a simple proof). □

Remark 3.2. *In the previous Lemma, the set $\mathfrak{M}_f$ contains at most four numbers, by Lemma 3.8.*

Lemma 3.10. *Let k be a positive integer, let f and h be germs in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ such that 0 is an isolated fixed point of both f and f^k and $\det Dh(0) \neq 0$, and let $g = h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$. Then 0 is still an isolated fixed point of both g and g^k, and the following three equalities hold:*

$$\mu_{f^k}(0) = \mu_{g^k}(0),$$
$$P_k(f, 0) = P_k(g, 0),$$
$$\mathcal{O}_k(f, 0) = \mathcal{O}_k(g, 0).$$

Proof. The first equality is well known. The second follows from the first equality and the definition of Dold's indices. The last then follows from the second and Corollary 3.5. □

The following result is due to M. Shub and D. Sullivan [11]. It is also proved in [13].

Lemma 3.11. *Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer and let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$. Assume that the origin is an isolated fixed point of f and that, for each eigenvalue λ of $Df(0)$, either $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda^m \neq 1$. Then the origin is still an isolated fixed point of f^m and*

$$\mu_f(0) = \mu_{f^m}(0).$$

Lemma 3.12 ([9])**.** *Let h_1 and h_2 be germs in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$. If 0 is an isolated zero of both h_1 and h_2, then the zero order of $h_1 \circ h_2$ at 0 equals the product of the zero orders of h_1 and h_2 at 0, say, $\pi_{h_1 \circ h_2}(0) = \pi_{h_1}(0)\pi_{h_2}(0)$.*

Lemma 3.13 ([9])**.** *Let $f = (f_1, h)$ and $g = (f_2, h)$ be two germs in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$. If 0 is an isolated zero of both f and g, then 0 is also an isolated zero of $F = (f_1 f_2, h)$ and*

$$\pi_F(0) = \pi_f(0) + \pi_h(0).$$

4. Normal Forms and Iterations of Normal Forms

The following lemma is a basic result in the theory of normal forms (see [1], p. 84–85).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ and assume that $Df(0) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is a diagonal matrix. Then for any positive integer r, there exists a polynomial transform*

$$(y_1, y_2) = H(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2) + \text{higher terms} \tag{4.1}$$

of coordinates in a neighborhood of the origin such that each component g_j of

$$g = (g_1, g_2) = H^{-1} \circ f \circ H$$

has a power series expansion

$$g_j(x_1,x_2)=\lambda_j x_j+\sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{r} c^j_{i_1i_2}x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}+\text{higher terms},\ j=1,2, \tag{4.2}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin, where i_1 *and* i_2 *are nonnegative integers and, for* $j=1$ *and* 2,

$$c^j_{i_1i_2}\neq 0 \text{ only if } \lambda_j=\lambda_1^{i_1}\lambda_2^{i_2}. \tag{4.3}$$

Corollary 4.1. *Let* H *be any transform given by the previous lemma. Then for each* $k\in\mathbb{N}$, *the* k *th iteration* $g^k=(g_1^{(k)},g_2^{(k)})$ *of the germ* $g=(g_1,g_2)=H^{-1}\circ f\circ H$ *has an expansion similar to (4.2), more precisely, in a neighborhood of the origin,*

$$g_j^{(k)}(x_1,x_2)=\lambda_j^k x_j+\sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{r} C^{kj}_{i_1i_2}x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}+\text{higher terms},\ j=1,2, \tag{4.4}$$

where i_1 *and* i_2 *are nonnegative integers and, for* $j=1$ *and* 2,

$$C^{kj}_{i_1i_2}\neq 0 \text{ only if } \lambda_j=\lambda_1^{i_1}\lambda_2^{i_2}. \tag{4.5}$$

Before starting the proof, we recall that the notation $o(|z|^r)$ denotes any holomorphic function germ whose power series expansion at the origin has no terms of degrees from 0 to r. The same notation $o(|z|^r)$ may denote different function germs in different places, even in a single equation.

Proof. By (4.2), the conclusion holds obviously, except (4.5). We show that the coefficients $C^{kj}_{i_1i_2}$ satisfy (4.5) for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $j=1,2$. This is done by induction on k.

Since $g^1=(g_1^{(1)},g_2^{(1)})=(g_1,g_2)=g$, the conclusion holds for $k=1$, by the previous lemma. Assume that (4.5) is true for $k=1,\dots,l$. We complete the proof by showing that (4.5) is true for $k=l+1$ and $j=1,2$.

For $j=1$, and $k=l+1$, it is clear by the induction hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned}&g_1^{(l+1)}(x_1,x_2)\\ =\ & g_1^{(l)}\circ g(x_1,x_2)=g_1^{(l)}(g_1(x_1,x_2),g_2(x_1,x_2))\\ =\ & \lambda_1^l g_1(x_1,x_2)+\sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{r} C^{l1}_{i_1i_2}\left[g_1(x_1,x_2)\right]^{i_1}\left[g_2(x_1,x_2)\right]^{i_2}+o(|g(x_1,x_2)|^r),\end{aligned}$$

and then, writing $o(|g(x_1,x_2)|^r)=o(|x|^r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&g_1^{(l+1)}(x_1,x_2)\\ =\ & \lambda_1^l g_1(x_1,x_2)+\sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{r} C^{l1}_{i_1i_2}\left[g_1(x_1,x_2)\right]^{i_1}\left[g_2(x_1,x_2)\right]^{i_2}+o(|x|^r),\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and by the induction hypothesis, for each pair (i_1,i_2) in the sum in (4.6),

$$C^{l1}_{i_1i_2}\neq 0 \text{ only if } \lambda_1=\lambda_1^{i_1}\lambda_2^{i_2}. \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.2), for the first part of the right hand side of (4.6), we have

$$\lambda_1^l g_1(x_1,x_2)=\lambda_1^{l+1}x_1+\lambda_1^l\sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{r} c^1_{i_1i_2}x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}+\text{higher terms},$$

where each $c^1_{i_1 i_2}$ satisfies (4.3) for $j = 1$, say, $\lambda_1^l g_1(x_1, x_2)$ is already in the form of the right hand side of (4.4), together with condition (4.5). Thus, by (4.6), to complete the induction for $j = 1$, it suffices to show that we can write the sum

$$\sigma(x_1,x_2) = \sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{r} C^{l1}_{i_1 i_2} \left[g_1(x_1,x_2)\right]^{i_1} \left[g_2(x_1,x_2)\right]^{i_2} \tag{4.8}$$

in (4.6) to be

$$\sigma(x_1,x_2) = \sum_{j_1+j_2=2}^{r} \mathcal{C}^{l+1,1}_{j_1 j_2} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} + o(|x|^r), \tag{4.9}$$

such that for each pair (j_1, j_2)

$$\mathcal{C}^{l+1,1}_{j_1 j_2} \neq 0 \text{ only if } \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_1^{j_1}\lambda_2^{j_2}. \tag{4.10}$$

By (4.8) and the expressions of g_1 and g_2 in (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(x_1,x_2)\\
&= \sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{r} C^{l1}_{i_1 i_2} \left[\lambda_1 x_1 + \sum_{s_1+s_2=2}^{r} c^1_{s_1 s_2} x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2} + o(|x|^r)\right]^{i_1}\\
&\quad \times \left[\lambda_2 x_2 + \sum_{t_1+t_2=2}^{r} c^2_{t_1 t_2} x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} + o(|x|^r)\right]^{i_2}\\
&= \sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{r} C^{l1}_{i_1 i_2} \left[\lambda_1 x_1 + \sum_{s_1+s_2=2}^{r} c^1_{s_1 s_2} x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2}\right]^{i_1}\\
&\quad \times \left[\lambda_2 x_2 + \sum_{t_1+t_2=2}^{r} c^2_{t_1 t_2} x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2}\right]^{i_2} + o(|x|^r)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\sigma(x_1, x_2)$ is a power series at the origin which is the sum of terms that is either of the form $cx_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}$ with $i_1 + i_2 > r$, or of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{j_1 j_2} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \quad &= \quad C^{l1}_{i_1 i_2} \left[(\lambda_1 x_1)^{l_1} \prod_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1} \left(c^1_{s_1 s_2} x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2}\right)^{l_{s_1 s_2}}\right]\\
&\qquad \times \left[(\lambda_2 x_2)^{l'_2} \prod_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2} \left(c^2_{t_1 t_2} x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2}\right)^{l'_{t_1 t_2}}\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where l_1, l'_2, $l_{s_1 s_2}$ and $l'_{t_1 t_2}$ are nonnegative integers, and E_1 and E_2 are sets of some pairs of nonnegative integers such that

$$l_1 + \sum_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1} l_{s_1 s_2} = i_1, \ \text{ and } l'_2 + \sum_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2} l'_{t_1 t_2} = i_2 \tag{4.12}$$

$$D_{j_1 j_2} = \lambda_1^{l_1}\lambda_2^{l'_2} C^{l1}_{i_1 i_2} \left[\prod_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1} \left(c^1_{s_1 s_2}\right)^{l_{s_1 s_2}}\right] \left[\prod_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2} \left(c^2_{t_1 t_2}\right)^{l'_{t_1 t_2}}\right], \tag{4.13}$$

$$j_1 = l_1 + \sum_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1} s_1 l_{s_1s_2} + \sum_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2} t_1 l'_{t_1t_2}, \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$j_2 = l'_2 + \sum_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1} s_2 l_{s_1s_2} + \sum_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2} t_2 l'_{t_1t_2}. \tag{4.15}$$

We show that

$$D_{j_1j_2} \neq 0 \text{ only if } \lambda_1 = \lambda_1^{j_1}\lambda_2^{j_2}.$$

By (4.13), (4.3) and (4.7), it is clear that $D_{j_1j_2} \neq 0$ only if

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1^{i_1}\lambda_2^{i_2},$$

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1^{s_1}\lambda_2^{s_2} \text{ for all } (s_1,s_2)\in E_1,$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_1^{t_1}\lambda_2^{t_2} \text{ for all } (t_1,t_2)\in E_2.$$

Therefore, if $D_{j_1j_2} \neq 0$, then we have, by (4.12)–(4.15), that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1^{j_1}\lambda_2^{j_2} &= \lambda_1^{l_1+\sum_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1} s_1 l_{s_1s_2}+\sum_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2} t_1 l'_{t_1t_2}} \\
&\quad \times \lambda_2^{l'_2+\sum_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1} s_2 l_{s_1s_2}+\sum_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2} t_2 l'_{t_1t_2}} \\
&= \left[\lambda_1^{l_1}\prod_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1}(\lambda_1^{s_1}\lambda_2^{s_2})^{l_{s_1s_2}}\right]\left[\lambda_2^{l'_2}\prod_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2}(\lambda_1^{t_1}\lambda_2^{t_2})^{l'_{t_1t_2}}\right] \\
&= \left[\lambda_1^{l_1}\prod_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1}(\lambda_1)^{l_{s_1s_2}}\right]\left[\lambda_2^{l'_2}\prod_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2}(\lambda_2)^{l'_{t_1t_2}}\right] \\
&= \left[\lambda_1^{l_1+\sum_{(s_1,s_2)\in E_1} l_{s_1s_2}}\right]\left[\lambda_2^{l'_2+\sum_{(t_1,t_2)\in E_2} l'_{t_1t_2}}\right] \\
&= \lambda_1^{i_1}\lambda_2^{i_2} = \lambda_1.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, $D_{j_1j_2} \neq 0$ only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1^{j_1}\lambda_2^{j_2}$. Thus, we can write $\sigma(x_1,x_2)$ to be (4.9) with (4.10), and then, we have proved that (4.5) is true for $k = l+1$ and $j = 1$. For the same reason, (4.5) is true for $k = l+1$ and $j = 2$. The induction is complete. □

5. Computing Zero Orders Via Cronin's Theorem

Since fixed point indices are defined via zero orders, to prove the main theorem, it is useful to compute the zero orders of some special germs of holomorphic mappings. The following Cronin's theorem plays an important role in our computations.

Theorem 5.1 (**Cronin** [5]). *Let* $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$f(x_1,x_2) = (P_{m_1}(x_1,x_2) + o(|x|^{m_1}), Q_{m_2}(x_1,x_2) + o(|x|^{m_2})),$$

where $x = (x_1,x_2)$, P_{m_1} *and* Q_{m_2} *are homogeneous polynomials of degrees* m_1 *and* m_2, *respectively, in* x_1 *and* x_2. *If the origin* 0 *is an isolated solution of the system*

$$\begin{cases} P_{m_1}(x_1,x_2) = 0, \\ Q_{m_2}(x_1,x_2) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

then 0 *is an isolated zero of the germ* f *with zero order*

$$\pi_f(0) = m_1 m_2.$$

If 0 *is an isolated zero of* f *but is not an isolated solution of the system (5.1), then*

$$\pi_f(0) > m_1 m_2.$$

We now apply Cronin's theorem to some special cases.

Corollary 5.1. *Let* $g = (g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$\begin{cases} g_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{m_1+1}(a_1 + o(1)) + x_2^d(a_2 + o(1)), \\ g_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{m_1} x_2(a_3 + o(1)) + x_2^{d+1}O(1), \end{cases}$$

where d *and* m_1 *are positive integers, and* a_i *are constants.*

If the origin is an isolated zero of g, *then*

$$\pi_g(0) \geq dm_1 + m_1 + 1.$$

If $a_1 \neq 0, a_2 \neq 0$ *and* $a_3 \neq 0$, *then the origin is an isolated zero of* g *with*

$$\pi_g(0) = dm_1 + m_1 + 1.$$

Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ be given by

$$h(y_1, y_2) = (y_1^d, y_2^{m_1+1}).$$

then the two component of $g \circ h = (g_1 \circ h, g_2 \circ h)$ have the expressions

$$\begin{cases} g_1 \circ h(y_1, y_2) = a_1 y_1^{d(m_1+1)} + a_2 y_2^{d(m_1+1)} + \text{higher terms}, \\ g_2 \circ h(y_1, y_2) = a_3 y_1^{dm_1} y_2^{m_1+1} + \text{higher terms}. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

If the origin is an isolated zero of g, then it is also an isolated zero of $g \circ h$, and then, by Cronin's theorem,

$$\pi_{g\circ h}(0) \geq d(m_1 + 1)(dm_1 + m_1 + 1), \tag{5.3}$$

and, considering that $\pi_h(0) = d(m_1 + 1)$, by Lemma 3.12 we have

$$\pi_g(0) = \pi_{g\circ h}(0)/\pi_h(0) \geq dm_1 + m_1 + 1. \tag{5.4}$$

On the other hand, it is clear that when a_1, a_2 and a_3 are all nonzero, 0 is an isolated solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} a_1 y_1^{d(m_1+1)} + a_2 y_2^{d(m_1+1)} = 0, \\ a_3 y_1^{dm_1} y_2^{m_1+1} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and then by (5.2) and Cronin's theorem, 0 is an isolated zero of $g \circ h$ and the equality in (5.3) holds, and then 0 is also an isolated zero of g and the equality in (5.4) holds again. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let* $g = (g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$\begin{cases} g_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^m(a + o(1)) + x_2 O(1), \\ g_2(x_1, x_2) = x_2(b + o(1)) + x_1^m o(1), \end{cases}$$

where a *and* b *are constants.*

If the origin is an isolated zero of g, *then*

$$\pi_g(0) \geq m.$$

If $a \neq 0$ *and* $b \neq 0$, *then the origin is an isolated zero of* g *with*

$$\pi_g(0) = m.$$

Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ be given by

$$h(y_1, y_2) = (y_1, y_2^m).$$

Then the germ $g \circ h = (g_1 \circ h, g_2 \circ h)$ has the expression

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_1 \circ h(y_1, y_2) = a y_1^m + a_0 y_2^m + \text{higher terms}, \\ g_2 \circ h(y_1, y_2) = b y_2^m + \text{higher terms}, \end{array} \right. \tag{5.5}$$

for some constant a_0.

If the origin is an isolated zero of g, then it is also an isolated zero of the germ $g \circ h$, and by (5.5) and Cronin's theorem,

$$\pi_{g \circ h}(0) \geq m^2,$$

and the equality holds if $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$; and then by Lemma 3.12 and by the fact $\pi_h(0) = m$,

$$\pi_g(0) = \pi_{g \circ h}(0)/\pi_h(0) \geq m,$$

and the equality holds if $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. On the other hand, by (5.5) and Cronin's theorem, when $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$, 0 is an isolated zero of $g \circ h$, and then 0 is also an isolated zero of g. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 5.3. *Let* $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_1(x_1, x_2) & = & x_1^{n_1} O(1) + x_2^{n_2} O(1), \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) & = & x_1^{n_1} O(1) + x_2^{n_2} O(1), \end{array}$$

where n_1 *and* n_2 *are positive integers. Assume that* 0 *is an isolated zero of* f. *Then*

$$\pi_f(0) \geq n_1 n_2.$$

Proof. Consider $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ given by $h(y_1, y_2) = (y_1^{n_2}, y_2^{n_1})$. Then 0 is an isolated zero of the germ $f \circ h = (f_1 \circ h, f_2 \circ h)$ and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_1 \circ h(y_1, y_2) & = & y_1^{n_1 n_2} O(1) + y_2^{n_1 n_2} O(1), \\ f_2 \circ h(y_1, y_2) & = & y_1^{n_1 n_2} O(1) + y_2^{n_1 n_2} O(1). \end{array}$$

Thus, by Cronin's theorem we have $\pi_{f \circ h}(0) \geq n_1^2 n_2^2$, and then by the fact $\pi_h(0) = n_1 n_2$ and by Lemma 3.12, we have $\pi_f(0) = \pi_{f \circ h}(0)/\pi_h(0) \geq n_1 n_2$. □

Corollary 5.4. *Let* $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_1(x_1, x_2) & = & x_1^{m_1}, \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) & = & x_1^{m_1} O(1) + x_2^{r m_2} O(1), \end{array}$$

where r, m_1 *and* m_2 *are positive integers. Assume that* 0 *is an isolated zero of* f. *Then*

$$\pi_f(0) \geq r m_1 m_2.$$

Proof. It follows from the previous corollary, by taking $n_1 = m_1$ and $n_2 = r m_2$. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let* $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_1(x_1, x_2) & = & x_1^{n_1} \left[x_1^{r n_1} O(1) + x_2^{n_2} O(1) \right], \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) & = & x_2^{n_2} \left[x_1^{n_1} O(1) + x_2^{r n_2} O(1) \right], \end{array}$$

where r, n_1 *and* n_2 *are positive integers. Assume that* 0 *is an isolated zero of* f. *Then*

$$\pi_f(0) \geq 2 n_1 n_2 + 2 r n_1 n_2.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.13, the zero order $\pi_f(0)$ equals the sum of the zero orders of the four germs in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x_1, x_2) &= (x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2}), \\ g_2(x_1, x_2) &= (x_1^{n_1}, x_1^{n_1}O(1) + x_2^{rn_2}O(1)), \\ g_3(x_1, x_2) &= (x_1^{rn_1}O(1) + x_2^{n_2}O(1), x_2^{n_2}), \\ g_4(x_1, x_2) &= (x_1^{rn_1}O(1) + x_2^{n_2}O(1), x_1^{n_1}O(1) + x_2^{rn_2}O(1)). \end{aligned}$$

By Cronin's theorem we have $\pi_{g_1}(0) \geq n_1n_2$; by Corollary 5.4 we have $\pi_{g_2}(0) \geq rn_1n_2$ and $\pi_{g_3}(0) \geq rn_1n_2$; and by Corollary 5.3 we have $\pi_{g_4}(0) \geq n_1n_2$. Thus, we have

$$\pi_f(0) \geq 2n_1n_2 + 2rn_1n_2.$$

□

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $f = (f_1, f_2)$ *and* $g = (g_1, g_2)$ *be germs in* $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *and assume that* $A = (a_{ij})$ *is a* 2×2 *matrix whose elements* a_{ij} *are germs of holomorphic functions at the origin of* $\mathbb{C}^2$, *with* $\det A(0) \neq 0$. *If*

$$(f_1, f_2) = (g_1, g_2)A = (g_1a_{11} + g_2a_{21}, g_1a_{12} + g_2a_{22}),$$

and the origin is an isolated zero of g, *then the origin is also an isolated zero of* f *and*

$$\pi_f(0) = \pi_g(0).$$

Proof. By the assumption, there exists a ball B centered at the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that the origin is the unique zero of g in $\overline{B}$, A is well defined on $\overline{B}$ and

$$\det A(x_1, x_2) \neq 0, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in B. \tag{5.6}$$

Then there exists a regular value $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ of g, which can be chosen close to the origin arbitrarily, such that $g^{-1}(\varepsilon) \cap B$ contains exactly $\pi_g(0)$ distinct points $(a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_{\pi_g(0)}, b_{\pi_g(0)})$ in B. Thus, we have

$$f(a_i, b_i) - (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)A(a_i, b_i) = 0, i = 1, \ldots, \pi_g(0).$$

In other words, $f_\varepsilon(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2) - (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)A(x_1, x_2)$ has $\pi_g(0)$ distinct zeros in B. It is clear that $f_\varepsilon(x_1, x_2)$ is a germ in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ converging to f uniformly on $\overline{B}$ as $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \to 0$, and on the other hand, the origin is also the unique zero of f in $\overline{B}$ as well. Thus, by Rouche's theorem, we have

$$\pi_f(0) \geq \pi_g(0).$$

But by (5.6), the inverse A^{-1} of the matrix A is well defined on $\overline{B}$, which is again a matrix of holomorphic functions, and $g = fA^{-1}$. Thus, for the same reason we have $\pi_f(0) \leq \pi_g(0)$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let* $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$, *let* h *be a holomorphic function germ at the origin, and let* $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$g = (f_1, f_2 + hf_1) = (f_1, f_2)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If the origin is an isolated zero of f, *then it is an isolated zero of* g *and*

$$\pi_g(0) = \pi_f(0).$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $d > 1, n_1 > 1$ *and* $n_2 > 1$ *be positive integers and let* $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} f_1(x_1, x_2) = & x_1^{dn_1+1} a_{11}(x_1, x_2) + x_1^{n_1+1} x_2^{n_2} O(1) \\ & + x_1 x_2^{dn_2} O(1) + x_2^{2dn_2+1} a_{12}(x_1, x_2), \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) = & x_1^{dn_1} x_2 O(1) + x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2+1} O(1) \\ & + x_2^{dn_2+1} a_{22}(x_1, x_2) + x_1^{2dn_1+1} a_{21}(x_1, x_2), \end{array}\right. \tag{5.7}$$

where $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(x_1, x_2)$ *are holomorphic function germs at the origin. Assume that the origin is an isolated zero of* f*. Then*

$$\pi_f(0) \geq 2dn_1n_2 + dn_1 + dn_2 + 1. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. We first assume

$$a_{11}(0) \neq 0, a_{22}(0) \neq 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Then $[a_{11}(x_1, x_2)]^{-1}$ and $[a_{22}(x_1, x_2)]^{-1}$ are also holomorphic function germs at the origin, and we can reduce the germ f into a simpler germ $h = (h_1, h_2)$ of the form

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} h_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 r_1(x_1, x_2), \\ h_2(x_1, x_2) = x_2 r_2(x_1, x_2). \end{array}\right. \tag{5.10}$$

with

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} r_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{dn_1} a_{11}(x_1, x_2) + x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} O(1) + x_2^{dn_2} O(1), \\ r_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{dn_1} O(1) + x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} O(1) + x_2^{dn_2} a_{22}(x_1, x_2), \end{array}\right. \tag{5.11}$$

and with

$$\pi_f(0) = \pi_h(0). \tag{5.12}$$

By Corollary 5.6, $\pi_f(0)$ equals $\pi_g(0)$ for the germ $g = (g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ that is given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_1(x_1, x_2) & = & f_1(x_1, x_2), \\ g_2(x_1, x_2) & = & f_2(x_1, x_2) - x_1^{dn_1} [a_{11}(x_1, x_2)]^{-1} a_{21}(x_1, x_2) f_1(x_1, x_2), \end{array}$$

Since $n_1 > 1, n_2 > 1$ and $d > 1$, by (5.7) we can write

$$x_1^{dn_1} [a_{11}(x_1, x_2)]^{-1} a_{21}(x_1, x_2) f_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{2dn_1+1} a_{21}(x_1, x_2) + x_1^{dn_1} x_2 O(1),$$

and then again by (5.7) we have

$$g_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{dn_1} x_2 O(1) + x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2+1} O(1) + x_2^{dn_2+1} a_{22}(x_1, x_2). \tag{5.13}$$

Again by Corollary 5.6, $\pi_g(0)$ equals $\pi_h(0)$ for the germ $h = (h_1, h_2)$ given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h_1(x_1, x_2) & = & g_1(x_1, x_2) - x_2^{dn_2} [a_{22}(x_1, x_2)]^{-1} a_{12}(x_1, x_2) g_2(x_1, x_2), \\ h_2(x_1, x_2) & = & g_2(x_1, x_2), \end{array}$$

and, by (5.13) and the expression of $g_1 = f_1$ in (5.7), it is easy to see that $h_1(x_1, x_2)$ has the expression

$$h_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{dn_1+1} a_{11}(x_1, x_2) + x_1^{n_1+1} x_2^{n_2} O(1) + x_1 x_2^{dn_2} O(1),$$

and then the germ $h = (h_1, h_2) = (h_1, g_2)$ has the expression (5.10), such that (5.11) and (5.12) hold.

By (5.9), repeating the above arguments, we can reduce the germ $r = (r_1, r_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ into a further simpler germ $s = (s_1, s_2)$ with the expression

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(x_1,x_2) &= x_1^{dn_1}O(1) + x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}O(1) = x_1^{n_1}\left[x_1^{(d-1)n_1}O(1) + x_2^{n_2}O(1)\right], \\ s_2(x_1,x_2) &= x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}O(1) + x_2^{dn_2}O(1) = x_2^{n_2}\left[x_1^{n_1}O(1) + x_2^{(d-1)n_2}O(1)\right], \end{aligned}$$

such that $\pi_r(0) = \pi_s(0)$. By Corollary 5.5, we have

$$\pi_s(0) \geq 2n_1n_2 + 2(d-1)n_1n_2 = 2dn_1n_2,$$

and then

$$\pi_r(0) = \pi_s(0) \geq 2dn_1n_2.$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 5.2, for the germs in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(x_1,x_2) &= (x_1,x_2), \\ k_2(x_1,x_2) &= (r_1(x_1,x_2),x_2), \\ k_3(x_1,x_2) &= (x_1,r_2(x_1,x_2)), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\pi_{k_1}(0) = 1, \pi_{k_2}(0) = dn_1, \pi_{k_3}(0) = dn_2.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.13 and (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_h(0) &= \pi_{k_1}(0) + \pi_{k_2}(0) + \pi_{k_3}(0) + \pi_r(0) \\ &\geq 1 + dn_1 + dn_2 + 2dn_1n_2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (5.8), by (5.12).

If (5.9) fails, then we consider the germ $f_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ that is obtain from f by just replacing $a_{ii}(x_1, x_2)$ with $a_{ii}(x_1, x_2) + \varepsilon, i = 1, 2$. Then f_ε converges to f uniformly in a neighborhood of the origin, f_ε has the form of (5.7) and for sufficiently small ε, 0 is an isolated zero of f_ε by Rouché's Theorem, and $a_{ii}(0,0) + \varepsilon \neq 0$ for $i = 1$ and 2. Thus, the above arguments are applied to such f_ε if ε is small enough. In other word, for sufficiently small ε, we have

$$\pi_{f_\varepsilon}(0) \geq 1 + dn_1 + dn_2 + 2dn_1n_2,$$

and then (5.8) follows from Corollary 3.3. □

By Cronin's theorem, one can prove the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $f = (f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *be given by*

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1,x_2) &= \lambda_1 x_1 + x_1(a_{11}x_1^{m_1} + a_{12}x_2^{m_2}), \\ f_2(x_1,x_2) &= \lambda_2 x_2 + x_2(a_{21}x_1^{m_1} + a_{22}x_2^{m_2}), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_1, λ_2 *are primitive* m_1*-th and* m_2*-th roots of unity, respectively,* m_1 *and* m_2 *are positive integers that are relatively prime.*

If $a_{11} \neq 0$, $a_{22} \neq 0$ *and* $\det(a_{ij}) \neq 0$, *then the origin is an isolated fixed point of* f^{m_1}, f^{m_2} *and* $f^{m_1m_2}$ *and the following formulae hold.*

$$\mu_{f^{m_1}}(0) = (m_1 + 1),$$

$$\mu_{f^{m_2}}(0) = (m_2 + 1),$$

$$P_{m_1m_2}(f, 0) = m_1m_2.$$

Proof. When m_1 and m_2 are distinct primes, this is proved in [14]. But in general, the proof is exactly the same. □

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM: (B)⇒(A)

In this section, we deduce (A) from (B) in the main theorem.

Assume that $M > 1$ is an integer and A is a matrix that satisfies (B) and let f be a germ in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ such that

$$Df(0) = A,$$

and that the origin is an isolated fixed point of f^M. We shall show that

$$\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) \geq 2. \tag{6.1}$$

By Lemma 3.10 and the assumption in (B), we may assume that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are primitive m_1 th and m_2 th roots of unity, respectively, and one of the following conditions holds.

(b1) $m_1 = m_2 = M$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

(b2) $m_1 = m_2 = M$ and there exists positive integers $1 < \alpha < M$ and $1 < \beta < M$ such that

$$\lambda_1^\beta = \lambda_2, \lambda_2^\alpha = \lambda_1, \alpha\beta > M + 1. \tag{6.2}$$

(b3) $m_1 | m_2$, $m_2 = M$, and $\lambda_2^{m_2/m_1} \neq \lambda_1$.

(b4) $M = [m_1, m_2], (m_1, m_2) > 1$ and $\max\{m_1, m_2\} < M$.

Then, in any case from (b1) to (b4), the origin is a simple fixed point of f, and then by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mu_f(0) = P_1(f, 0) = 1. \tag{6.3}$$

We show that any one of the four conditions from (b1) to (b4) deduces (6.1), and divide the proof into four parts.

Part 1: (b1) ⇒ (6.1).

Proof. In case (b1), we may assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ and λ is a primitive M th root of unity. Then,

$$Df(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, there exists a polynomial transform $(y_1, y_2) = H(x_1, x_2)$ in the form of (4.1), such that each component of $g = (g_1, g_2) = H^{-1} \circ f \circ H$ has the expression

$$g_j(x_1, x_2) = \lambda x_j + \sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{M} c^j_{i_1 i_2} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} + o(|x|^M), j = 1, 2, \tag{6.4}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin, where the sum extends over all 2-tuples (i_1, i_2) of nonnegative integers with

$$2 \leq i_1 + i_2 \leq M + 1 \text{ and } \lambda = \lambda^{i_1+i_2},$$

which implies that $M|(i_1 + i_2 - 1)$, since λ is a primitive M th root of unity. Thus (6.4) becomes

$$g_j(x_1, x_2) = \lambda x_j + o(|x|^M), j = 1, 2,$$

and then the M th iteration $g^M = (g_1^{(M)}, g_2^{(M)})$ has the form of

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) &= x_1 + o(|x|^M), \\ g_2^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) &= x_2 + o(|x|^M). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Cronin's theorem and Lemma 3.10, we have

$$\mu_{f^M}(0) = \mu_{g^M}(0) = \pi_{g^M - id}(0) \geq (M+1)^2,$$

and then, by (6.3) and by Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 (ii), we have

$$P_M(f, 0) = \mu_{f^M}(0) - P_1(f, 0) \geq (M+1)^2 - 1 > 2M,$$

and then, by Corollary 3.5, $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) > 2$. This completes the proof. □

Part 2: (b2) ⇒ (6.1).

Proof. We first show that

$$\mu_{f^M}(0) > M + 1. \tag{6.5}$$

By Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.1, there exists a polynomial transform $(y_1, y_2) = H(x_1, x_2)$ in the form of (4.1), such that the M th iteration $g^M = (g_1^{(M)}, g_2^{(M)})$ of the germ $g = (g_1, g_2) = H^{-1} \circ f \circ H$ has the expression

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) &= \lambda_1^M x_1 + \textstyle\sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{2M} C^1_{i_1 i_2} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} + o(|x|^{2M}), \\ g_2^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) &= \lambda_2^M x_2 + \textstyle\sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{2M} C^2_{i_1 i_2} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} + o(|x|^{2M}), \end{aligned}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin, where, for $j = 1$ and 2,

$$C^j_{i_1 i_2} \neq 0 \text{ only if } \lambda_j = \lambda_1^{i_1} \lambda_2^{i_2}. \tag{6.6}$$

By (b2), both λ_1 and λ_2 are primitive M th roots of unity. Thus, by (6.6) and (b2), $C^1_{i_1 0} \neq 0$ only if $M|(i_1 - 1)$, and $C^1_{0 i_2} \neq 0$ only if $i_2 \geq \alpha$; $C^2_{0 i_2} \neq 0$ only if $M|(i_2 - 1)$, and $C^2_{i_1 0} \neq 0$ only if $i_1 \geq \beta$. Thus, considering that $\lambda_1^M = \lambda_2^M = 1$ and that

$$o(|x|^{2M}) = x_1^{M+1} O(1) + x_2^{M+1} O(1),$$

we can write

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} g_1^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) &= x_1 + x_1^{M+1} O(1) + O(1) x_1 \textstyle\sum_{i_1+i_2=1}^{2M} D^1_{i_1 i_2} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} + x_2^{\alpha} O(1), \\ g_2^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) &= x_2 + x_1^{\beta} O(1) + O(1) x_2 \textstyle\sum_{i_1+i_2=1}^{2M} D^2_{i_1 i_2} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} + x_2^{M+1} O(1), \end{aligned} \right. \tag{6.7}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin, where

$$D^j_{i_1 i_2} \neq 0 \text{ only if } 1 = \lambda_1^{i_1} \lambda_2^{i_2}, j = 1, 2. \tag{6.8}$$

By condition (b2), we may write

$$M = \beta m + \gamma,$$

where m and γ are positive integers with $\gamma < \beta$. We first assume

$$\lambda_1 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\beta m + \gamma}}, \lambda_2 = e^{\frac{2\beta\pi i}{\beta m + \gamma}}. \tag{6.9}$$

Then, for any pair (i_1, i_2) of nonnegative integers with $\lambda_1^{i_1} \lambda_2^{i_2} = 1$ we have

$$i_1 + \beta i_2 = 0 (\mathrm{mod} M), \tag{6.10}$$

and then by (6.8), (6.10) and (6.2), putting $(x_1, x_2) = h(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, z_2^\beta)$, we conclude that the two components of the germ $(g^M - id) \circ h$ have the following expression.

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{(M)} \circ h(z_1, z_2) - z_1 &= z_1^{M+1} O(1) + O(1) z_1 \sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{M} D^1_{i_1 i_2} z_1^{i_1} z_2^{\beta i_2} + z_2^{\alpha\beta} O(1) \\ &= z_1 \phi_M(z_1, z_2) + \text{higher terms}, \\ g_2^{(M)} \circ h(z_1, z_2) - z_2^\beta &= z_1^\beta O(1) + O(1) z_2^\beta \sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{M} D^2_{i_1 i_2} z_1^{i_1} z_2^{\beta i_2} + z_2^{\beta(M+1)} O(1) \\ &= a z_1^\beta + \text{higher terms}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ_M is a homogeneous polynomial of degree M, in z_1 and z_2, and a is a constant. In other words,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_1^{(M)} \circ h(z_1, z_2) - z_1 = z_1 \phi_M(z_1, z_2) + \text{higher terms}, \\ g_2^{(M)} \circ h(z_1, z_2) - z_2^\beta = a z_1^\beta + \text{higher terms}. \end{array} \right. \tag{6.11}$$

Since we have assumed that the origin is an isolated fixed point of f^M, it is an isolated fixed point of g^M by Lemma 3.10, and then the origin is an isolated zero of the germ $(g^M - id) \circ h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$, which has the expression (6.11). Thus, by Cronin's theorem, the zero order $\pi_{(g^M - id)\circ h}(0)$ of the germ $(g^M - id) \circ h$ at the origin is not smaller than $\beta(M+1)$, and since the origin is not an isolated solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 \phi_M(z_1, z_2) &= 0, \\ a z_1^\beta &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have by Cronin's theorem,

$$\pi_{(g^M - id)\circ h}(0) > \beta(M+1).$$

Thus we have, by the fact $\pi_h(0) = \beta$ and Lemma 3.12, that

$$\mu_{g^M}(0) = \pi_{g^M - id}(0) = \pi_{(g^M - id)\circ h}(0) / \pi_h(0) > M + 1.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.10, we have proved (6.5) under the assumption (6.9).

When (6.9) fails, we first show that there exists a positive integer d such that $\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1^d$ and $\Lambda_2 = \lambda_2^d$ has expression (6.9), say

$$\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1^d = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\beta m + \gamma}}, \Lambda_2 = \lambda_2^d = e^{\frac{2\beta\pi i}{\beta m + \gamma}}. \tag{6.12}$$

Since λ_1 is a primitive M th root of unity, we may assume $\lambda_1 = e^{\frac{2k_1\pi i}{M}}$, where k_1 is a positive integer with $(k_1, M) = 1$. Then there exists a positive integer d such that

$$d k_1 + c M = 1, \tag{6.13}$$

where c is an integer. Then,

$$\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1^d = e^{\frac{2dk_1\pi i}{M}} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{M}}. \tag{6.14}$$

By (6.13), one has $(d, M) = 1$, and then

$$\Lambda_2 = \lambda_2^d$$

is still a primitive M th root of unity, since λ_2 is a primitive M th root of unity.

Now, both Λ_1 and Λ_2 are primitive M th roots of unity, and by (6.2), we still have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_1^{\beta} &= \lambda_1^{d\beta} = \lambda_2^{d} = \Lambda_2,\\ \Lambda_2^{\alpha} &= \lambda_2^{d\alpha} = \lambda_1^{d} = \Lambda_1,\end{aligned}$$

and then by (6.14), we have (6.12) (recall that $M = \beta m + \gamma$).

Let $F = f^d$, the d th iteration of f. Then Λ_1 and Λ_2 are the two eigenvalues of $DF(0)$. Thus, the above argument for the case (6.9) works for Λ_1, Λ_2 and F, provided that 0 is an isolated fixed point of $F^M = f^{dM}$. On the other hand, it is clear that the two eigenvalues of $Df^M(0)$ are both equal to 1, and therefore, by the assumption that 0 is an isolated fixed point of f^M and by Lemma 3.11, 0 is an isolated fixed point of $F^M = \left(f^M\right)^d$. Thus, applying the above argument to Λ_1, Λ_2 and F, we have

$$\mu_{F^M}(0) > M + 1.$$

and again by Lemma 3.11 we have

$$\mu_{f^M}(0) = \mu_{(f^M)^d}(0) = \mu_{F^M}(0) > M + 1,$$

say, (6.5) holds, and then we have proved (6.5) completely.

Thus, by (6.3), (6.5) and by Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 (ii), we have

$$P_M(f,0) = \mu_{f^M}(0) - P_1(f,0) > M,$$

and then,

$$\mathcal{O}_M(f,0) = P_M(f,0)/M \geq 2,$$

for $\mathcal{O}_M(f,0)$ is an integer. This completes the proof. $\square$

Part 3: (b3) $\Rightarrow$ (6.1).

Proof. In case (b3), there exists an integer $d > 1$ such that $M = m_2 = dm_1$. Then λ_1 is a primitive m_1 th root of unity and λ_2 is a primitive dm_1 th root of unity, and

$$\lambda_2^d \neq \lambda_1. \tag{6.15}$$

We first prove the following two conclusions.

(i) If j_1 and j_2 are integers with $\lambda_1^{j_1}\lambda_2^{j_2} = 1$, then $d|j_2$.

(ii) For any positive integer d_1 with $\lambda_2^{d_1} = \lambda_1$,

$$d_1 \geq 2d.$$

We may assume $\lambda_1 = e^{\frac{2k_1\pi i}{m_1}}$ and $\lambda_2 = e^{\frac{2k_2\pi i}{dm_1}}$, where k_1 and k_2 are positive integers with $k_1 < m_1$, $k_2 < dm_1$ and

$$(k_1, m_1) = (k_2, dm_1) = 1. \tag{6.16}$$

If j_1 and j_2 are integers with $\lambda_1^{j_1}\lambda_2^{j_2} = 1$, then we have

$$\frac{j_1k_1}{m_1} + \frac{j_2k_2}{dm_1} = 0(\mathrm{mod} 1),$$

and then

$$j_1k_1d + j_2k_2 = 0(\mathrm{mod}\,(dm_1)).$$

Thus by (6.16), we have $d|j_2$, and (i) is proved. If $\lambda_2^{d_1} = \lambda_1$, then by (6.15), $d \neq d_1$, and by (i), $d|d_1$, which implies (ii).

Next, we show that there exists a polynomial transform $(y_1, y_2) = H(x_1, x_2)$ in the form of (4.1), such that for each positive integer k, the k th iteration $g^k = (g_1^{(k)}, g_2^{(k)})$ of the germ

$$g = (g_1, g_2) = H^{-1} \circ f \circ H \tag{6.17}$$

has the expression

$$\begin{cases} g_1^{(k)}(x_1, x_2) = \lambda_1^k x_1 + x_1^{m_1+1}(a^{(k)} + o(1)) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad +x_1^2 x_2^d O(1) + x_2^{2d} O(1), \\ g_2^{(k)}(x_1, x_2) = \lambda_2^k x_2 + x_1^{m_1} x_2 O(1) + x_1 x_2^{d+1} O(1) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad +x_2^{2d+1} O(1) + x_1^{dm_1+1} o(1), \end{cases} \tag{6.18}$$

where $a^{(k)}$ is a constant for each k.

By Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.1, there exists a polynomial transform H in the form of (4.1) such that the k th iteration $g^k = (g_1^{(k)}, g_2^{(k)})$ of the germ (6.17) has the expression

$$g_j^{(k)}(x_1, x_2) = \lambda_j^k x_j + \sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{3m_1 d} C_{i_1 i_2}^{kj} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} + o(|x|^{3dm_1}),\ j = 1, 2, \tag{6.19}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin, in which i_1 and i_2 are nonnegative integers and for $j = 1$ and 2,

$$C_{i_1 i_2}^{kj} \neq 0 \text{ only if } \lambda_j = \lambda_1^{i_1} \lambda_2^{i_2}. \tag{6.20}$$

By (6.20), for $j = 1$ and any pair (i_1, i_2) in the sum with $C_{i_1 i_2}^{k1} \neq 0$, we have $\lambda_1^{i_1-1} \lambda_2^{i_2} = 1$, and then by the assumption that λ_1 and λ_2 are primitive m_1 th and m_2 th roots of unity (note that $m_2 = dm_1$), respectively, for such pair (i_1, i_2), the following conclusions from (1) to (4) hold (note that $i_1 + i_2 \geq 2$).

(1) If $i_1 = 0$, then $i_2 \geq 2d$ (by (6.15) and (ii));

(2) If $i_2 = 0$, then $i_1 \geq m_1 + 1$;

(3) If $i_1 = 1$, then $i_2 \geq dm_1 \geq 2d$;

(4) If $i_1 \geq 2$ and $i_2 \geq 1$, then $i_2 \geq d$ (by (i)).

On the other hand, since $d > 1$ and $m_1 > 1$, it is clear that any term of $o(|x|^{3dm_1})$ has either a factor $x_1^{dm_1+2}$, or a factor x_2^{2d+1}, and then one can write

$$o(|x|^{3dm_1}) = x_1^{dm_1+2} O(1) + x_2^{2d+1} O(1). \tag{6.21}$$

Thus, by (1)–(4) we obtain the first equation in (6.18).

By (6.20), for $j = 2$ and any pair (i_1, i_2) with $C_{i_1 i_2}^{k2} \neq 0$, we have $\lambda_1^{i_1} \lambda_2^{i_2-1} = 1$, and then by the assumption, for such pair (i_1, i_2) the following conclusions from (5) to (8) hold (note that $i_1 + i_2 \geq 2$).

(5) $i_2 \neq 0$;

(6) If $i_1 = 0$, then $i_2 \geq dm_1 + 1 \geq 2d + 1$;

(7) If $i_2 = 1$, then $i_1 \geq m_1$;

(8) If $m_1 > i_1 \geq 1$ and $i_2 \geq 2$, then $i_2 \geq d + 1$ (by (i)).

Thus, by (6.21), the second equation in (6.18) holds, and (6.18) is proved.

Since the origin is an isolated fixed point of f^{dm_1}, it is an isolated fixed point of f^{m_1}, g^{m_1} and g^{dm_1} as well, by Lemma 3.10. To complete the proof, we first show (6.1) under the assumption that

$$a^{(1)} \neq 0.$$

Then it is easy to see that the coefficient $a^{(k)}$ in (6.18) satisfies

$$a^{(k)} = k\lambda_1^{k-1}a^{(1)} \neq 0, k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{6.22}$$

For $k = m_1$, by (6.18) and by the fact $\lambda_1^{m_1} = 1$ and $\lambda_2^{m_1} \neq 1$, one can write

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{(m_1)}(x_1, x_2) - x_1 &= x_1^{m_1+1}(a^{(m_1)} + o(1)) + x_2 o(1), \\ g_2^{(m_1)}(x_1, x_2) - x_2 &= bx_2 + x_2 o(1) + x_1^{m_1+1}o(1). \end{aligned}$$

where $b = \lambda_2^{m_1} - 1 \neq 0$, and then by (6.22) and Corollary 5.2 we have

$$\mu_{g^{m_1}}(0) = \pi_{g^{m_1}-id}(0) = m_1 + 1. \tag{6.23}$$

For $k = dm_1$, by (6.18) we have

$$\begin{cases} g_1^{(dm_1)}(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_1^{m_1+1}O(1) + x_1^2 x_2^d O(1) + x_2^{2d}O(1), \\ g_2^{(dm_1)}(x_1, x_2) = x_2 + x_1^{m_1}x_2 O(1) + x_1 x_2^{d+1}O(1) \\ \qquad\qquad +x_2^{2d+1}O(1) + x_1^{dm_1+1}o(1), \end{cases} \tag{6.24}$$

and then, putting $(x_1, x_2) = h(z_1, z_2) = (z_1^d, z_2^{m_1})$, we conclude that the germ $G = (G_1, G_2) = \big(g^{dm_1} - id\big) \circ h$ has the expression

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(z_1, z_2) &= \phi(z_1, z_2) + \text{higher terms}, \\ G_2(z_1, z_2) &= \psi(z_1, z_2) + \text{higher terms}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ and ψ are homogeneous polynomials of degrees $dm_1 + d$ and $dm_1 + m_1$, respectively (note that $d > 1$ and $m_1 > 1$). Since the origin is an isolated fixed point of f^M, it is also an isolated fixed point of g^M by Lemma 3.10, and then it is an isolated zero of G. Therefore, by Cronin's theorem we have

$$\pi_G(0) \geq (dm_1 + d)(dm_1 + m_1) = dm_1(m_1 + 1)(d + 1),$$

and then, we have $\mu_{g^{dm_1}}(0) = \pi_G(0)/\pi_h(0) \geq (m_1 + 1)(d + 1)$, say,

$$\mu_{g^{dm_1}}(0) > dm_1 + m_1 + 1. \tag{6.25}$$

Thus, we have by (6.23), (6.25) and Lemma 3.10 that

$$\mu_{f^{m_1}}(0) = m_1 + 1 \text{ and } \mu_{f^{dm_1}}(0) > dm_1 + m_1 + 1. \tag{6.26}$$

By Lemma 3.8, each periodic point of the linear part of f at the origin has period 1, m_1 or dm_1. Thus, by Lemma 3.9 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{dm_1}(f, 0) &= \mu_{f^{dm_1}}(0) - P_{m_1}(f, 0) - P_1(f, 0), \\ P_{m_1}(f, 0) &= \mu_{f^{m_1}}(0) - P_1(f, 0), \end{aligned}$$

and then, we have by (6.26) that

$$P_{dm_1}(f, 0) = \mu_{f^{dm_1}}(0) - \mu_{f^{m_1}}(0) > dm_1,$$

and then $\mathcal{O}_{dm_1}(f, 0) = P_{dm_1}(f, 0)/(dm_1) > 1$. But $\mathcal{O}_{dm_1}(f, 0)$ is an integer, we have $\mathcal{O}_{dm_1}(f, 0) \geq 2$, and we have proved (6.1) under the assumption $a^{(1)} \neq 0$.

If $a^{(1)} = 0$, then for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ consider the mapping $g_\varepsilon = (g_{1,\varepsilon}, g_{2,\varepsilon})$ given by

$$\begin{cases} g_{1,\varepsilon}(x_1, x_2) = g_1(x_1, x_2) + \varepsilon x_1^{m_1+1}, \\ g_{2,\varepsilon}(x_1, x_2) = g_2(x_1, x_2), \end{cases}$$

which is obtain from (6.18) with $k = 1$, by just replacing $a^{(1)}$, the coefficient of $x_1^{m_1+1}$ of the power series of $g_1^{(1)}$, with ε (note that $g = g^1 = (g_1, g_2) = (g_1^{(1)}, g_2^{(1)})$). Then $f_\varepsilon = H \circ g_\varepsilon \circ H^{-1}$ converges to f uniformly in a neighborhood of the origin as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and then, 0 is also an isolated fixed point of $f_\varepsilon^{dm_1}$ for sufficiently small ε, by the assumption that 0 is an isolated fixed point of f^{dm_1} and Lemma 3.2.

On the other hand, $g_\varepsilon = H^{-1} \circ f_\varepsilon \circ H$ is in the form of (6.19) for $k = 1$, together (6.20). Thus, by Corollary 4.1, the k th iteration g_ε^k is still in the form of (6.19), together (6.20). Thus, repeating the process for proving (6.18), we can prove that g_ε^k is still in the form of (6.18), more precisely

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{(k)}(x_1, x_2) &= \lambda_1^k x_1 + x_1^{m_1+1}(A^{(k)} + o(1)) \\ &\quad + x_1^2 x_2^d O(1) + x_2^{2d} O(1), \\ g_2^{(k)}(x_1, x_2) &= \lambda_2^k x_2 + x_1^{m_1} x_2 O(1) + x_1 x_2^{d+1} O(1) \\ &\quad + x_2^{2d+1} O(1) + x_1^{dm_1+1} o(1). \end{aligned}$$

But here $A^{(1)} = \varepsilon \neq 0$.

Therefore, all the above arguments for the case $a^{(1)} \neq 0$ apply to $f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon = H^{-1} \circ f_\varepsilon \circ H$ and g_ε^k, and then we have $\mathcal{O}_{dm_1}(f_\varepsilon, 0) \geq 2$. Hence, by Lemma 3.6, we have (6.1) in the case $a^{(1)} = 0$ as well. This completes the proof. □

Part 4: (b4) ⇒ (6.1).

Proof. By (b4), there exist positive integers $d > 1$, $n_1 > 1$ and $n_2 > 1$ such that n_1 and n_2 are relatively prime and

$$m_1 = dn_1, \ m_2 = dn_2, \ M = dn_1 n_2.$$

Then, the two eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of $Df(0)$ are primitive dn_1-th, and dn_2-th roots of unity, respectively.

We first show that there exists a polynomial transform H in the form of (4.1) in a neighborhood of the origin such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k th iteration $g^k = (g_1^{(k)}, g_2^{(k)})$ of the germ

$$g = H^{-1} \circ f \circ H = (g_1, g_2) \tag{6.27}$$

has the expression

$$\begin{cases} g_1^{(k)}(x_1, x_2) = \lambda_1^k x_1 + x_1^{dn_1+1}(a^{(k)} + o(1)) + x_1^{n_1+1} x_2^{n_2} O(1) \\ \qquad\qquad + x_1 x_2^{dn_2} O(1) + x_2^{2dn_2+1} O(1), \\ g_2^{(k)}(x_1, x_2) = \lambda_2^k x_2 + x_1^{dn_1} x_2 O(1) + x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2+1} O(1) \\ \qquad\qquad + x_2^{dn_2+1}(b^{(k)} + o(1)) + x_1^{2dn_1+1} O(1), \end{cases} \tag{6.28}$$

where $a^{(k)}$ and $b^{(k)}$ are constants.

By Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.1, there exists a polynomial coordinate transform H in the form of (4.1) in a neighborhood of the origin, such that each component of the k th iteration $g^k = (g_1^{(k)}, g_2^{(k)})$ of the germ (6.27) has the expression

$$g_j^{(k)}(x_1, x_2) = \lambda_j^k x_j + \sum_{i_1+i_2=2}^{4dn_1n_2} C_{i_1 i_2}^{kj} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} + o(|x|^{4dn_1n_2}), j = 1, 2, \tag{6.29}$$

in a neighborhood of the origin, where for each $j = 1, 2$, the sum in (6.29) extends over all 2-tuples (i_1, i_2) of nonnegative integers with

$$2 \leq i_1 + i_2 \leq 4dn_1 n_2 \tag{6.30}$$

and

$$\lambda_j = \lambda_1^{i_1}\lambda_2^{i_2}. \tag{6.31}$$

We may write

$$\lambda_j = e^{\frac{2k_j\pi i}{dn_j}}, j = 1, 2,$$

where k_j is a positive integer such that $(k_j, dn_j) = 1, j = 1, 2$. Recall that (k_j, dn_j) denotes the largest common divisor of k_j and dn_j.

Now, first assume $j = 1$ and let (i_1, i_2) be any 2-tuple that satisfies (6.30) and (6.31). Then we have

$$\frac{(i_1-1)k_1}{dn_1} + \frac{i_2k_2}{dn_2} = 0(\mathrm{mod}1),$$

and then

$$(i_1-1)k_1n_2 + i_2k_2n_1 = 0(\mathrm{mod}\,(dn_1n_2)). \tag{6.32}$$

This implies that $n_1|(i_1-1)$ and $n_2|i_2$, since

$$(k_1, n_1) = (k_2, n_2) = (n_1, n_2) = 1.$$

Therefore, for $j = 1$, each nonzero term $C^{k1}_{i_1i_2}x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}$ in (6.29) is of type $x_1^{sn_1+1}x_2^{tn_2}O(1)$, in which $s = (i_1-1)/n_1$ and $t = i_2/n_2$ are integers. In particular, when $i_2 = 0$, by (6.32) we have $(dn_1)\,|\,(i_1-1)$, and then $i_1 \geq dn_1+1$ by (6.30); and when $i_1 = 1$, by (6.32) we have $(dn_2)\,|i_2$, and then $i_2 \geq dn_2$ by (6.30). On the other hand, each term in the power series $o(|x|^{4dn_1n_2})$ has the form $cx_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}$ with $i_1 + i_2 > 4dn_1n_2$. Thus each term in $o(|x|^{4dn_1n_2})$ has either a factor $x_1^{dn_1+2}$ or a factor $x_2^{2dn_2+1}$. Therefore, the first equality of (6.28) holds for some constant $a^{(k)}$.

For the same reason, the second equality in (6.28) holds for some constant $b^{(k)}$.

By the assumption, we have $\lambda_1^{dn_1n_2} = \lambda_2^{dn_1n_2} = 1$, and then, by taking $k = dn_1n_2$ in (6.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1^{(dn_1n_2)}(x_1,x_2) - x_1 &= x_1^{dn_1+1}O(1) + x_1^{n_1+1}x_2^{n_2}O(1)\\
&\quad +x_1x_2^{dn_2}O(1) + x_2^{2dn_2+1}O(1),\\
g_2^{(dn_1n_2)}(x_1,x_2) - x_2 &= x_1^{dn_1}x_2O(1) + x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2+1}O(1)\\
&\quad +x_2^{dn_2+1}O(1) + x_1^{2dn_1+1}O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.2, the zero order $\pi_{g^{dn_1n_2}-id}(0)$ of $g^{dn_1n_2} - id$ at the origin is at least $1 + dn_1 + dn_2 + 2dn_1n_2$, in other words

$$\mu_{g^{dn_1n_2}}(0) \geq 1 + dn_1 + dn_2 + 2dn_1n_2,$$

which implies by Lemma 3.10 that

$$\mu_{f^{dn_1n_2}}(0) \geq 1 + dn_1 + dn_2 + 2dn_1n_2. \tag{6.33}$$

Now, let us first prove (6.1) under the assumption that, in the expression (6.28), $a^{(1)} \neq 0$ and $b^{(1)} \neq 0$.

Then it is easy to see that

$$a^{(dn_1)} = dn_1\lambda_1^{dn_1-1}a^{(1)} \neq 0.$$

Thus, by (6.28) and the fact that $\lambda_1^{dn_1} = 1$ and $\lambda_2^{dn_1} \neq 1$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1^{(dn_1)}(x_1,x_2) - x_1 &= x_1^{dn_1+1}(a^{(dn_1)} + o(1)) + x_2o(1),\\
g_2^{(dn_1)}(x_1,x_2) - x_2 &= cx_2 + x_2o(1) + x_1^{dn_1+1}o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c = \lambda_2^{dn_1} - 1 \neq 0$, and then by Corollary 5.2 we have

$$\mu_{g^{dn_1}}(0) = \pi_{g^{dn_1}-id}(0) = dn_1 + 1,$$

and, repeating the above argument, by the assumption $b^{(1)} \neq 0$, we have

$$\mu_{g^{dn_2}}(0) = dn_2 + 1.$$

Thus by Lemma 3.10 we have

$$\mu_{f^{dn_1}}(0) = dn_1 + 1, \mu_{f^{dn_2}}(0) = dn_2 + 1. \tag{6.34}$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 3.9 (ii) and 3.8, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu_{f^{dn_1}}(0) & = & P_{dn_1}(f,0) + P_1(f,0), \\ \mu_{f^{dn_2}}(0) & = & P_{dn_2}(f,0) + P_1(f,0), \\ \mu_{f^{dn_1n_2}}(0) & = & P_{dn_1n_2}(f,0) + P_{dn_1}(f,0) + P_{dn_2}(f,0) + P_1(f,0). \end{array}$$

Thus, by (6.3) we have

$$P_{dn_1n_2}(f,0) = \mu_{f^{dn_1n_2}} - \mu_{f^{dn_1}}(0) - \mu_{f^{dn_2}}(0) + 1.$$

and then, by (6.33) and by (6.34), we have $P_{dn_1n_2}(f,0) \geq 2dn_1n_2$, and then (6.1) holds.

Now, we have proved (6.1) under the condition $a^{(1)} \neq 0$ and $b^{(1)} \neq 0$. In general, we consider $g_\varepsilon = (g_{1,\varepsilon}, g_{2,\varepsilon}) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ given by

$$\begin{array}{c} g_{1,\varepsilon}(x_1,x_2) = g_1(x_1,x_2) + \varepsilon x^{dn_1+1}, \\ g_{2,\varepsilon}(x_1,x_2) = g_2(x_1,x_2) + \varepsilon x_2^{dn_2+1}, \end{array} \tag{6.35}$$

which is obtained from (6.28) with $k = 1$, by just replacing the constants $a^{(1)}$ and $b^{(1)}$ with ε, and consider

$$f_\varepsilon = H \circ g_\varepsilon \circ H^{-1}$$

where H is the transform in (6.27).

Since f_ε uniformly converges to f as $\varepsilon \to 0$, for sufficiently small ε, the origin is an isolated fixed point of $f_\varepsilon^{dn_1n_2}$ by Rouché's theorem and the assumption that 0 is an isolated fixed point of $f^{dn_1n_2}$ (note that $M = dn_1n_2$). Then, it is clear that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon$ again satisfies condition (b4) and $g_\varepsilon = H^{-1} \circ f_\varepsilon \circ H$ is in the form of (6.29) of $k = 1$, together with (6.30) and (6.31), thus $g_\varepsilon^k = (g_{1,\varepsilon}^{(k)}, g_{2,\varepsilon}^{(k)})$ is in the form of (6.29) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by Corollary 4.1, more precisely,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_{1,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(x_1,x_2) & = & \lambda_1^k x_1 + x_1^{dn_1+1}(A^{(k)} + o(1)) + x_1^{n_1+1}x_2^{n_2}O(1) \\ & & +x_1x_2^{dn_2}O(1) + x_2^{2dn_2+1}O(1), \\ g_{2,\varepsilon}^{(k)}(x_1,x_2) & = & \lambda_2^k x_2 + x_1^{dn_1}x_2O(1) + x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2+1}O(1) \\ & & +x_2^{dn_2+1}(B^{(k)} + o(1)) + x_1^{2dn_1+1}O(1), \end{array}$$

where $A^{(1)} = B^{(1)} = \varepsilon \neq 0$.

Thus, all the above arguments for the case $a^{(1)}b^{(1)} \neq 0$ apply to f_ε and $g_\varepsilon^k = (g_{1,\varepsilon}^{(k)}, g_{2,\varepsilon}^{(k)})$, and then we have $\mathcal{O}_{dn_1n_2}(f_\varepsilon, 0) \geq 2$, and then by Lemma 3.6, we have $\mathcal{O}_{dn_1n_2}(f, 0) \geq 2$, say (6.1) holds again. This completes the proof. □

7. Proof of the Main Theorem: (A) ⇒ (B)

Assume that $M > 1$ is a positive integer and assume that A is a 2×2 matrix such that the following condition holds.

(C) *For any* $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ *such that* $Df(0) = A$ *and that* 0 *is an isolated fixed point of* f^M,

$$\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) \geq 2. \tag{7.1}$$

We show that A satisfies condition (B) in Theorem 1.2.

We first show that the two eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of A satisfy

$$\lambda_1^M = \lambda_2^M = 1. \tag{7.2}$$

Assume (7.2) fails. Then we may assume $\lambda_2^M \neq 1$, and then by (C), Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.8, the other eigenvalue λ_1 must be a primitive M th root of unity. But then we shall obtain a contradiction by constructing a germ $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ such that $Df(0) = A$ and that 0 is an isolated fixed point of f^M, but (7.1) fails. That is to say, (C) fails!

Since λ_1 is a primitive M th root of unity and $\lambda_2^M \neq 1$, we have $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, and then the matrix A is diagonalizable. Thus, by Lemma 3.10, to construct that f, we may assume that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We show that the germ $f(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ given by

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (\lambda_1 x_1 + x_1^{M+1}, \lambda_2 x_2)$$

is the desired germ.

Since λ_1 is a primitive M th root of unity and $M > 1$, it is clear that $\lambda_1 \neq 1$ and that the M th iteration f^M of f has the expression

$$f^M(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + c x_1^{M+1}(1 + o(1)), \lambda_2^M x_2),$$

where $c = M\lambda_1^{M-1} \neq 0$. Then, by the assumption that $\lambda_2^M \neq 1$ and by Cronin's theorem, the origin is an isolated zero of $f^M - id$ and the zero order is

$$\pi_{f^M - id}(0) = M + 1,$$

and then the origin is an isolated fixed point of f^M with

$$\mu_{f^M}(0) = M + 1.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that 0 is a simple fixed point of f, and then

$$\mu_f(0) = P_1(f, 0) = 1.$$

Therefore, by Lemmas 3.9 (ii) and 3.8,

$$P_M(f, 0) = \mu_{f^M}(0) - P_1(f, 0) = M,$$

and then $\mathcal{O}_M(f, 0) = 1$, say, (7.1) fails. Hence, (7.2) holds.

By (C), (7.2), Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.8, we can conclude that there exists positive integers m_1 and m_2 such that

(D) *The two eigenvalues* λ_1 *and* λ_2 *of* A *are primitive* m_1 *th and* m_2 *th roots of unity, respectively, and*

$$M = [m_1, m_2]. \tag{7.3}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$m_1 \le m_2 \le M.$$

If m_1 and m_2 do not satisfy any one of the conditions from (b1) to (b4), then by (D) and Remark 1.1, one of the following conditions must be satisfied:

(b1)' $m_1 = m_2 = M$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and A is not diagonalizable.

(b2)' $m_1 = m_2 = M$ and there exists positive integers α and β with $1 < \alpha < M$ and $1 < \beta < M$ such that

$$\lambda_1^{\alpha} = \lambda_2, \lambda_2^{\beta} = \lambda_1, \alpha\beta = M + 1. \tag{7.4}$$

(b3)' $m_2 = M$, $m_1 | m_2, m_1 < m_2$, and $\lambda_2^{m_2/m_1} = \lambda_1$.

(b4)' m_1 and m_2 are relatively prime, and $m_2 > m_1 > 1$.

We show that each condition from (b1)' to (b4)' contradicts condition (C). This will be done in each case, by constructing a germ $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ such that $DF(0) = A$ and 0 is an isolated fixed point of F^M, but $\mathcal{O}_M(F, 0) = 1$, say, (7.1) fails. We divide this process into four parts.

Part 1: (b1)' implies the existences of F.

Proof. In case (b1)', by Lemma 3.10, we may assume that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, consider the germ $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ given by

$$F(x_1, x_2) = (\lambda_1 x_1 + x_2^{M+1}, x_1 + \lambda_1 x_2).$$

Since λ_1 is a primitive M th root of unity and $M > 1$, the origin is a simple fixed point of f, say,

$$P_1(F, 0) = \mu_F(0) = 1. \tag{7.5}$$

It is easy to see by induction that the M th iteration F^M of F has the expression

$$\left(F^M(x_1, x_2)\right)^T = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + x_1\phi_M(x_1, x_2) + M\lambda_1^{M-1}x_2^{M+1} + o(|x|^{M+1}) \\ M\lambda_1^{M-1}x_1 + x_2 + o(|x|) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and ϕ_M is a homogenous polynomial of degree M. Then $F^M(x_1, x_2) - (x_1, x_2)$ has the expression

$$\left(F^M(x_1, x_2) - (x_1, x_2)\right)^T = \begin{pmatrix} x_1\phi_M(x_1, x_2) + M\lambda_1^{M-1}x_2^{M+1} + o(|x|^{M+1}) \\ M\lambda_1^{M-1}x_1 + o(|x|) \end{pmatrix}$$

and the origin is an isolated zero of the system

$$\begin{aligned} x_1\phi_M(x_1, x_2) + M\lambda_1^{M-1}x_2^{M+1} &= 0, \\ M\lambda_1^{M-1}x_1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Cronin's theorem, the zero order of the germ $F^M - id$ at the origin equals $M + 1$, and then

$$\mu_{F^M}(0) = M + 1.$$

Thus, by (7.5) and by Lemmas 3.9 (ii) and 3.8,

$$P_M(F, 0) = \mu_{F^M}(0) - P_1(F, 0) = M,$$

which implies $\mathcal{O}_M(F, 0) = 1$. $\square$

In any other case, A is diagonalizable, and by Lemma 3.10, we may assume

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Part 2: (b2)' implies the existence of F.

Proof. In case (b2)', consider the germ $F = (F_1, F_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x_1, x_2) &= \lambda_1 x_1 + x_2^\beta, \\ F_2(x_1, x_2) &= \lambda_2 x_2 + x_1^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By (D) and (b2)', both λ_1 and λ_2 are primitive M th roots of unity, and then by (7.4), it is easy to see that the M th iteration $F^M = (F_1^{(M)}, F_2^{(M)})$ of F has the expression

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) &= x_1 + M\lambda_1^{M-1} x_2^\beta + \text{higher terms}, \\ F_2^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) &= x_2 + M\lambda_2^{M-1} x_1^\alpha + \text{higher terms}; \end{aligned}$$

and then by Cronin's theorem, we have

$$\mu_{F^M}(0) = \alpha\beta = M + 1.$$

On the other hand, since $M > 1$ and both λ_1 and λ_2 are primitive M th roots of unity, the germ F here still satisfies (7.5). Therefore, by (7.5) and by Lemmas 3.9 (ii) and 3.8, we have

$$P_M(F, 0) = \mu_{F^M}(0) - P_1(F, 0) = M,$$

and then $\mathcal{O}_M(F, 0) = 1$. □

Part 3: (b3)' implies the existence of F.

Proof. In case (b3)', we consider the germ $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0, 0)$ given by

$$F(x_1, x_2) = (\lambda_1 x_1 + x_1^{m_1+1} + x_2^d, \lambda_2 x_2 + x_1^{m_1} x_2), \tag{7.6}$$

where

$$d = m_2/m_1 = M/m_1 > 1$$

is a positive integer.

We first assume $m_1 = 1$. Then $d = m_2 = M$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2^d = 1$, and then it is easy to show that the M th iteration $F^M = (F_1^{(M)}, F_2^{(M)})$ has the expression

$$\begin{cases} F_1^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + Mx_1^2 + Mx_2^d + x_1^2 o(1) + x_2^d o(1), \\ F_2^{(M)}(x_1, x_2) = x_2 + M\lambda_2^{M-1} x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_2 o(1) + x_2^{d+1} O(1). \end{cases}$$

Then by Corollary 5.1, considering that $d = M$, we have that the zero order $\pi_{F^M - id}(0)$ of $F^M - id$ at the origin equals $d + 2 = M + 2$. Thus,

$$\mu_{F^M}(0) = \pi_{F^M - id}(0) = M + 2.$$

On the other hand, since $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 \neq 1$, we can write

$$F(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + x_1^2 + x_2 o(1), \lambda_2 x_2 + x_2 o(1)),$$

and then by Corollary 5.2, the zero order $\pi_{F-id}(0)$ of $F - id$ at the origin equals 2, and then

$$\mu_F(0) = P_1(F, 0) = \pi_{F-id}(0) = 2.$$

Hence, by Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 (ii), we have

$$P_M(F,0) = \mu_{F^M}(0) - P_1(F,0) = M,$$

and then $\mathcal{O}_M(F,0) = 1$.

Now, assume $m_1 > 1$. Then we have $d = m_2/m_1 > 1$ and $\lambda_2^{m_1} \neq 1$, and then, the m_1 th iteration $F^{m_1} = (F_1^{(m_1)}, F_2^{(m_1)})$ of F given by (7.6) has the expression

$$\begin{cases} F_1^{(m_1)}(x_1,x_2) = x_1 + x_1^{m_1+1}(c_1 + o(1)) + x_2^d(c_1 + o(1)), \\ F_2^{(m_1)}(x_1,x_2) = \lambda_2^{m_1} x_2 + m_1 \lambda_2^{m_1-1} x_1^{m_1} x_2 (1 + o(1)) + x_2^{d+1} o(1), \end{cases} \tag{7.7}$$

where $c_1 = m_1 \lambda_1^{m_1-1} \neq 0$. Thus, by Corollary 5.2, the zero order of the germ $F^{m_1} - id$ at the origin equals $m_1 + 1$, say

$$\mu_{F^{m_1}}(0) = m_1 + 1. \tag{7.8}$$

Now, consider the fixed point index $\mu_{F^M}(0)$ of $F^M = (F_1^{(M)}, F_2^{(M)})$, the M th iteration of F. By (b3)' and (7.3), $\lambda_2^{m_1}$ is a primitive d th root of unity, and then by (7.7), it is easy to see that the germ (note that $M = dm_1 = m_2$)

$$F^M(x_1,x_2) = (F_1^{(M)}, F_2^{(M)}) = (F^{m_1})^d$$

has the expression

$$\begin{cases} F_1^{(M)}(x_1,x_2) = x_1 + dx_1^{m_1+1}(c_1 + o(1)) + dx_2^d(c_1 + o(1)), \\ F_2^{(M)}(x_1,x_2) = x_2 + M\lambda_2^{M-1} x_1^{m_1} x_2 (1 + o(1)) + x_2^{d+1} o(1), \end{cases}$$

and then the germ $F^M - id = (F_1^{(M)}, F_2^{(M)}) - id$ has the expression

$$\begin{cases} F_1^{(M)}(x_1,x_2) - x_1 = x_1^{m_1+1}(dc_1 + o(1)) + x_2^d(dc_1 + o(1)), \\ F_2^{(M)}(x_1,x_2) - x_2 = M\lambda_2^{M-1} x_1^{m_1} x_2 (1 + o(1)) + x_2^{d+1} o(1), \end{cases}$$

and then, by Corollary 5.1, we have (note that $dc_1 \neq 0$ and $M\lambda_2^{M-1} \neq 0$)

$$\mu_{F^M}(0) = \pi_{F^M - id}(0) = 1 + m_1 + dm_1. \tag{7.9}$$

By Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 (ii), We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{F^M}(0) &= P_M(F,0) + P_{m_1}(F,0) + P_1(F,0), \\ \mu_{F^{m_1}}(0) &= P_{m_1}(F,0) + P_1(F,0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (7.8) and (7.9) we have

$$P_M(F,0) = \mu_{F^M}(0) - \mu_{F^{m_1}}(0) = dm_1 = M,$$

and then $\mathcal{O}_M(F,0) = 1$. □

Part 4: (b4)' implies the existence of F.

Proof. In case (b4)', the existence of F follows from Proposition 5.1. This completes the proof.

Now, we have prove that any case from (b1)' to (b4)' can not occurs. Thus A must satisfy (B) in the main theorem. □

References

[1] Arrowsmith, D. K. & Place, C. M., An introduction to dynamical systems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[2] Buzzard, Gregery T., Kupka-Smale theorem for automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$, Duke Math. J. 93, no. 3 (1998), 487–503.

[3] Chow, S-N, Mallet-Paret, J. & Yorke, J. A., A periodic orbit index which is a bifurcation invariant, Geometric dynamics (Rio de Janeiro, 1981), Lecture Notes in Math., 1007, Springer, Berlin, 1983, 109–131 (MR 85d:58058).

[4] Chirka, E. M., Complex analytic sets, Translated from the Russian by R. A. M. Hoksbergen, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 46. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht (1989).

[5] Cronin, J., Analytic functional mappings, Ann. of Math. (2) 58, (1953). 175–181 (MR 15,234a)

[6] Dold, A., Fixed point indices of iterated maps, Invent. Math. 74 (1983), no. 3, 419–435 (MR 85c:54077).

[7] Fagella, N., Llibre, J., Periodic points of holomorphic maps via Lefschetz numbers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), no. 10, 4711–4730 (MR2001b:55003).

[8] Hardy, G. H. & Wright, W. M., An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 5th ed. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press, (1979).

[9] Lloyd, N. G., Degree theory, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 73. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, 1978 (MR 58 #12558).

[10] Milnor, J., Dynamics in one complex variable, Introductory lectures, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1999.

[11] Shub, M., & Sullivan, D. A remark on the Lefschetz fixed point formula for differentiable maps, Topology 13 (1974), 189–191 (MR 50 #3274).

[12] Zhang, G. Y., Bifurcations of periodic points of holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}^2$ into $\mathbb{C}^2$. Proc. London Math. Soc., 79(3) (1999), 353–380 (MR 2000f:32027).

[13] Zhang, G. Y., Multiplicities of fixed points of holomorphic maps in several complex variables, Sci. China Ser. A 44 (2001), no. 3, 330–337 (MR 2002b:37026).

[14] Zhang, G. Y., Fixed point indices and periodic points of holomorphic mappings, to appear in Math. Ann., http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.DS/0511250.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China. *Email: gyzhang@math.tsinghua.edu.cn*